rigorously pursue forfeiture operations.

However, any moneys remaining in the forfeiture funds at the end of the year are returned to the General Treasury. With the creation of the special forfeiture fund, 90 percent of the moneys remaining in the Justice and Customs forfeiture funds will be transferred to the new special fund. These moneys will be disbursed by the Attorney General as the Chairman of the National Drug Enforcement Policy Board for Drug Control Efforts at the Federal and State level, including both law enforcement and drug abuse prevention and treatment programs.

The amendment offered by the distinguished chairman of the Budget Committee simply provides that the moneys disbursed from this special fund would be specified in appropriations acts. I would like to note that the provision which establishes this new fund explicitly provides that all moneys disbursed from the special fund would not be used to supplant existing funds, but would be used to supplement any moneys that would otherwise be available. I am confident that the members of the Appropriations Committee will comply with this language, so as to insure that the purposes of this legislation are fully carried out. The oversight role of Congress is one of its most important responsibilities, and I think this amendment will help in insuring that the congressional intent of this legislation is fully implemented by the executive branch.

Finally, I would like to note that it is my expectation that the Attorney General, as Chairman of the Drug Policy Board, will submit a detailed appropriations request for the use of the moneys in the special forfeiture fund. This responsibility is entirely consistent with the role the Policy Board Chairman is supposed to play. In fact, the National Narcotics Act of 1984, which created the Policy Board, explicitly provides that the Policy Board is responsible for coordinating the Federal drug control effort, including the development of budgetary priorities. Unfortunately, the Attorney General, to date, has failed to develop such priorities. In fact, the President's Organized Crime Commission noted with alarm that the Policy Board has failed to undertake the essential task of developing budgetary priorities for the overall Federal drug control effort, despite the clear responsibility the Policy Board has in this area. It is my hope that the Attorney General will develop such priorities in the near future, and that these priorities will be reflected in the appropriations request submitted pursuant to this legislation.

It is about time that drug traffickers begin to pay for the damage they inflict upon our society. This legislation will provide that all moneys derived from seized and forfeited assets will be used to fund drug control efforts. In addition, I support the amendment by the Senator from New Mexico. I am

confident that the members of the Appropriations Committee will insure that moneys in the new special forfeiture fund will be used to supplement, and not supplant, otherwise available moneys. I urge my colleagues to support this amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on the motion to concur with amendments.

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. DOLE. I move to reconsider the vote by which the motion was agreed to.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, will the distinguished majority leader ask unanimous consent that all Senators may have the right to insert their remarks in the Record?

Mr. DOLE. Right. I will make that request, that all Senators may have the right to insert their remarks with reference to the bill or any part thereof in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, let me again thank the staff. I hope now we have improved this to the point where the House will find it so attractive they will just zip it on through tomorrow. But there is some rumor that they may send us another bill tomorrow, and that is another reason we would like to have this one on its way so it will be there to greet them in the morning.

I thank all my colleagues. I know there are one or two who wanted a rollcall vote, but in nearly every case those who requested a rollcall vote voted for almost the same bill earlier so they are on record. I thank my colleagues for moving rather expeditiously once we got started.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, I think everyone has been thanked here this evening except the majority leader, and I wish to express my thanks and commendations to him for persistence and his urging that action be taken this evening. That has been taken and I hope the majority leader will say, "Well done, we can all go home."

Mr. DOLE. There will be no more votes and I do plan to recess in just a few moments. I want to make one inquiry on the House side. I am advised by counsel that the continuing resolution will be passed in the morning and as long as it is delivered and signed by the President by noon the Government will not shut down. So we will make certain we have that for him.

## SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLU-TION RELATING TO TAX REFORM ACT OF 1986

Mr. DOLE. I yield briefly to the Senator from Oregon for an announcement, I hope a temporary announcement.

Mr. PACKWOOD. I do not know if it is temporary or not, Mr. President. I have been on the floor for 6½ hours trying to get a unanimous-consent agreement on the concurrent resolution. We do not have one and we will not get one tonight, and it is what feared. The longer we delayed, the worse it got.

I just took a phone call from the distinguished junior Senator from Louisi ana [Mr. Johnston], who wanted to know if we could offer an amendment he wanted. I said we could not. He was gracious. He said he understood have got two other phone messages in my pocket from Senators I have not returned but I will wager I know what they are when I call them. In the meantime I have already advised the distinguished minority leader we have another Democratic objection to any unanimous-consent agreement on this night so there is no point in pursuing it any further tonight.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, may I say tomorrow morning I hope new rays of bright sunlight will clear the air in many ways and that we will be able to proceed expeditiously with action on the technical amendments.

## □ 2150

AUTHORIZATION FOR FILING STATEMENTS WITH RESPECT TO IMPEACHMENT TRIAL OF HARRY E. CLAIBORNE

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that Senators may have until the close of business tomorrow, Thursday, October 16, to file their written statements with respect to the impeachment trial of Harry E Claiborne.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. With out objection, it is so ordered.

## THE METS' VICTORY IN THE NATIONAL LEAGUE PLAYOFFS

Mr. MOYNIHAN. Mr. President earlier this evening, the New You Mets scored an extraordinarily impressive 7-to-6 victory in the sixth and deciding game of the National Leave playoffs, defeating the Houston Astroin an almost unprecedented 16-innincontest. While the Senate debated in details of the concurrent resolution millions of fans, among them a large number of my constituents, witnessethis marathon baseball game. Anyon who saw the game knows that the was "baseball like it oughta be."

This game, Mr. President, was unimation of a tremendous seasof for the Mets, who won a team reconsidered to the Mets' irrepressible manager, at the entire Mets organization on an application of the team—Days of the team—Days of the team—Days of the team—Dwight Gooden, Recommended.

Wilson. Bob Oj Sid Fer Heep, ] stra-tr in Grii Kevin Sisk, L and Ric themsel Mr. P come of onship, thank a: Mets fo We look series. L

**Octobe** 

Darling

the "i

TRIBI
Mr. Le
I rise too
and colle
After the
Senate,
return to
law, tea
missed.
sounded
Senate, w
His opr
was vocal

the Unit Southeast that legis Senator strong in throughou 99th Cong Foreign R final assig

ton amer

the bomt

Fairness Tom's act as well as work on 80urces C education. services le work on r€ gram of d recent exa: As ranki Governme Senator E tant role i service reti Ton has als tors who District of derstood th for the Nat But, Mr. voice of co clearly in ethics and fight over Manion to EAGLETON SI

bility of Ma

a judge. He

permanence

and therefo

firmation p

<sup>mem</sup>ber tha