~	- .	
CIF	Interna	tional

Environmental Law on the Reservation



The Freedom of Information Act

Communications between Federal Agencies and Indian Tribes following Klamath Water Users Association v. DOI.

Ed Keable
Office of the Solicitor
Division of General Law
Department of the Interior

Training Objectives

- Brief overview of the FOIA
- Review Klamath
- Post Klamath communications between Federal agencies and Tribes

[Disclaimer]

Training Objectives ■ Keep in Mind: ◆ I am a lawyer • Working for the Federal government ◆In Washington, DC. ◆TRUST ME. **FOIA Overview** ■ History • Passed in 1966 • Purposes: open operation of government FOIA's objective is to achieve the "fullest responsible disclosure." S. Rep. No. 89-813 at 3 (1965). FIOA Overview ■ Release documents upon request UNLESS one of nine exemptions applies. ■ Reno policy: foreseeable harm standard • "FULLEST responsible disclosure"

■ Ashcroft policy: sound legal basis standard

• "fullest RESPONSIBLE disclosure"

FOIA Overview ■ Exemption (2) – Internal Personnel Rules and Agency Practices ■ Exemption (3) – Statutory Exemptions ■ Exemption (4) - Commercial Information ■ Exemption (5) - Common law Privileges ■ Exemption (6) - General Privacy ■ Exemption (7) – Government Investigations ■ Exemption (9) – Well Data Klamath Water Users Ass'n ■ Background ◆Klamath irrigation project ◆BOR Op-Plan ♦BOR, BIA, FWS, SOL ♦ MOU with Klamath Basin Tribes ◆ State water rights adjudication ◆Klamath plaintiffs Klamath Water Users Ass'n ■ FOIA request

■ Case history

◆ District Court

 Decided for DOI: fiduciary communication theory
 ◆ 9th Circuit Court of Appeals

◆ Decided for plaintiffs: "direct interest" test for exemption 5 threshold

Klamath Water Users Ass'n

- Case history (cont'd)
 - ♦ U.S. Supreme Court
 - "functional test" implicitly approved
 - ♦ "threshold analysis" must precede the privilege analysis
 - threshold test fails where, as here, the tribes communicated as "self advocates at the expense of others seeking benefits inadequate to satisfy everyone."

Klamath	Water	Users /	4.s.s '	n
NUMBER	rruici	00000	TOO	: *

The Big Myth:

The Klamath case stands for the proposition that no Federal agency communications with Tribes are protected under the FOIA.

NOT

Klamath Lessons

- ♦ "functional test" implicitly approved
- "threshold analysis" must precede the privilege analysis
- threshold test fails where, as here, the tribes communicated as "self advocates at the expense of others seeking benefits inadequate to satisfy everyone."
- ◆Klamath addressed exemption (5) only

- Exemption (2) Internal Personnel Rules and Practices of an Agency
 - ◆Post 9/11, an exemption in flux.
 - Classified information (Ex. 1) vs.
 - Sensitive information (Ex. 2, maybe)
 - "critical infrastructure" information

What Survives Klamath?

- Exemption (3) Statutory Exemptions
 - ◆ Archaeological Resources Protection Act 16 U.S.C. § 470hh(a)
 - ◆ National Historic Preservation Act, 16 U.S.C. § 470w-3
 - ◆ Indian Mineral Development Act, 25 U.S.C. § 2103(c)

- More Exemption (3) Statutes:
 - ◆ Federal Cave Resources Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. § 4301
 - ◆Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. § 2701
 - ◆ Section 207, National Parks Omnibus Management Act of 1998, Pub. L. 105-391; 16 U.S.C. § 5937

	_					
					 	
					-	
				·	 	
					 	
		·····			 	
		_				
			.		 	
	,				 	
_					 	
						
		-	-		 	

- Exemption (4) Commercial Information
 - ◆ Utah v. DOI, 256 F. 3d (10th Cir. 2001)
 - Goshute tribe and PFS entered into a lease for a spent nuclear fuel repository
 - ♦ Tribe forwarded it to BIA or approval
 - ◆ 10th Circuit upheld DOI's withholding of certain lease provisions under exemption (4)

What Survives Klamath?

- Exemption (5) Common Law Privileges
 - ◆ Communications between tribes when they are not acting as "self advocates at the expense of others seeking benefits inadequate to satisfy everyone" IF they meet "functional test" requirements.
 - ♦ Coal / timber resources of tribes
 - ♦638 contracting

- Exemption (5) Common Law Privileges
 - ◆ Common Interest Doctrine
 - ◆ Transmittal of an agency's privileged communication (already exempt from release) to a Tribe's attorney for the purpose of preparing a common defense or position in litigation.

			•					
.,					 		 	
.					 	_	 	
						<u>-</u>		
							 	
		_	-					
							 -	
-								
								-
	•			-	 			

- Exemption (6) General Privacy
 - ♦ Home addresses
 - ♦ Home telephone numbers
 - ◆Blood quantum
 - ◆ Social security numbers
 - ◆Etc.

What Survives Klamath?

- Exemption (6) General Privacy Caveat
 - ◆§ 217 Indian Land Consolidation Act Amendments of 2000 PL 106-462
 - names/addresses of Indian owners of trust or restricted lands,
 - +information on location of parcels, and
 - ♦% of interest each individual owns NOT protected from release IF

- Exemption (6) General Privacy Caveat
 - ◆ § 217 PL 106-462 (cont'd) Requested by
 - ♦ Other Indian owners within same reservation
 - ♦ Tribe exercising jurisdiction
 - Prospective applicants for leasing, use, consolidation of the land

 	 <u></u>
 	

- Exemption (7) Government Investigations
 - ◆ Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601-75
 - ♦43 C.F.R. Part 11 DOI Regulations
 - Methods for determining exposure, quantifying injury, and determining damages

What Survives Klamath?

- Exemption 7 (cont'd)
 - ◆"Law enforcement proceedings" include civil actions.
 - ◆ Pending or contemplated law enforcement proceedings are protected as long as the agency can point to a specific proceeding.

- Exemption (9) Well Data
 - Water well data.

-					
					
					
<u> </u>					
		,			_
					
-					
				···	