## EXHIBIT 22

25.7 Tom Huston memorandum

THE WHITE HOUSE

August 5, 1970

## EYES ONLY

MEMORANDUM FOR H. R. HALDEMAN

FROM:

TOM CHARLES HUSTON

SUBJECT: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE

In anticipation of your meeting with Mr. Hoover and the Attorney General, I would like to pass on these thoughts:

I. More than the FBI is involved in this operation. NSA, DIA, CIA, and the military services all have a great stake and a great interest. All of these agencies supported the options selected by the President. For your private information, so did all the members of Mr. Hoover's staff who worked on the report (he'd fire them if he know this.)

- 3. We are not getting the type of hard intelligence we need at the White House. We will not get it until greater effort is trade through community-wide-coordination to dig out the information by using all the resources potentially available. It is, of course, a matter of Impacing the obvious risks against the desired results. I thought we balanced these risks rather objectively in the report, and Hower is escalating the risks in order to clock his determination to continue to do business as usual.
- 4. At some point, Hoover has to be told who is President. He has become totally unreasonable and his conduct is detrimental to our domestic intelligence operations. In the past two weeks, he has terminated all FBI Haison with NSA, DIA, the military services, Secret Service -- everyone except the White House. He terminated limiton with CIA in May. This is bound to have a crippling effect upon the entire community and is centrary to his public assurance to the President at the meeting that there was close and effective coordination and cooperation within the incelligence community. It is important to remember that the entire intelligence community knows that the President made a positive decision to go shead and Hoover has now succeeded in forcing a review. If he gets his way it is going to look like he is more powerful then the President. He had his say in the footnotes and RN decided against him. That should close the matter and I can't understand why the AG is a party to reopening it. All of us are going to look damn silly in the eyes of Helms, Cayler, Bennett, and the military chiefs if Hoover can unilaterally reverse a Prosidential docision based on a report that many people worked their asses off to propure and which, on its merits, was a firstrate, objective job.
- 5. The biggest risk we could take, in my opinion, is to continue to regard the violence on the campus and in the cities as a comprany phanonican which will simply go away as soon as the Sevanian Commission files its report. The one statement that Renais David made at HEW which I thought made sense was that the Atternay

-3-

General was kidding himself when he said the campuses would be quiet this fail. Davis predicted that at least 30 would be closed down in September. I don't like to make predictions, but I am not at all convinced, on the basis of the intelligence I have seen, that we are anyway near over the hemo on this problem, and I am convinced that the potential for even greater violence is present, and we have a positive obligation to take every step within our power to prevent it.

- Hoover can be expected to raise the following points in your meeting:
- (a) "Our present efforts are adequate." The answer is bullshill. This is particularly true with regard to FBI campus coverage.
- (b) "The risks are too great; these folks are going to get the President into trouble and RN had better listen to me." The answer is that we have considered the risks, we believe they are acceptable and justified under the circumstances. We are willing to weigh each exceptionally sensitive operation on its -merits, but the Director of the FBI is paid to take risks where the security of the country is at stake. Nothing we propose to do has not been done in the past -- and in the past it was always done successfully.
- (c) "I don't have the personnel to do the job the . President wants done." The answer is (!) he has the people and/or (2) he can get them.
- (d) "I don't object to KSA conducting surreptitious entry if they want to." The answer is that NSA doesn't have the people, can't get them, has no authority to get them, and shouldn't have to get them. It is an FBI job.

(c) "If we do these things the 'jackels of the press' and the -ACLU will find out; we can't avoid leaks." Answer: We can avoid leaks by using trained, trusted agents and restricting knowledge of sensitive operations on a strict need to know basis. We do this on other sensitive operations every day.

- (f) "If I have to do these things, the Attorney General will have to approve them in writing." This is up to the AG, but I would tell Hoover that he has been instructed to do them by the President and he is to do them on that authority. He needn't lock for a scape goat. He has his authority from the President and he doesn't need a written memo from the AG. To maintain security, we should avoid written communications in this area.
  - (g) "We don't need an Inter-Agency Committee on Intelligence Operations because (l) we're doing fine right now -good coordination, etc. -- and (2) there are other existing groups which can handle this assignment." The answer is that we are doing lousy right now and there aren't other groups which can do the job we have in mind because; (l) they don't meet; (2) they don't have the people on them we want or have some people we don't want; (3) they don't have the author ity to do what we want done; (4) ultimately this new operation will replace them; and (5) they aren't linked to the White House staff.

that Hover will raise, but they will be of similar quality. I heps that Hover will be able to convince the AG of the importance and necessity of getting Hover to go along. We have worked for nearly a year to reach this point; others have worked far longer and had abandoned hope. I believe we are talking about the inture of this country, for surely demostic violence and disorder threaten the very fabric of our society. Intelligence is not the cure, but it can provide the diagnosis that makes a cure possible.

Move knownantly, it can provide us with the means to prevent the

-5-

deterioration of the situation. Perhaps lowered voices and poace in Victness will defuse the tense situation we face, but I would at want to rely on it exclusively.

There is this final point. For eighteen months we have watched people in this government ignore the President's orders, take actions to embarrass him, promote themselves at his expense, and generally make his job more difficult. It makes me fighting mad, and what Hoover is doing here is putting himself above the President. If he thought the Attorney General's advice should be solicited, he should have done so before the report was sent to the President. After all, Hoover was chairman of the committee and he could have asked the AG for his comments. But no, he didn't do so for it never occurred to him that the President would not agree with his footnoted objections. He thought all he had to do was put in a footnote and the matter was settled. He had absolutely no interest in the views of NSA, GIA, DIA, and the military services, and obviously he has \_little interest in our views, or apparently even in the decisions of the President. I don't see how we can tolerate this, but being a fatalist, if not a realist, I am prepared to accept the fact that we may have to do so.

TOM CHARLES HUSTON



This document is from the holdings of:

The National Security Archive

Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University

2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037

Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, nsarchiv@gwu.edu