Guide fo Cybersecurity Event Recover	
Cypersecurity Event Recover	)r
	y
Michael Barto	ock
Jeffrey Cichons	SK1
Murugian Souppa Matthew Sm	iya
Greg Wi	itte
Karen Scarfo	me
COMPUTER SECURITY	



23	Draft NIST S	pecial Publication 800-184
24		Cuida far
25		Guide for
	Cyborsocur	ity Event Recovery
26	Cyber secur	
27		
28		
29	Michael Bartock	Jeffrey Cichonski
30	Murugiah Souppaya	Applied Cybersecurity Division
31	Computer Security Division	Information Technology Laboratory
32	Information Technology Laboratory	
33		
34	Matthew Smith	Karen Scarfone
35	Greg Witte	Scarfone Cybersecurity
36	<i>G2</i> , <i>Inc</i> .	Clifton, VA
37	Annapolis Junction, MD	
38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43		
44		
45 46		June 2016
40		June 2010
47		
70		SOPERINENT OF COMMERCE SOPERINENT OF COMMERCE SOPERINE SOPERINE SOPERINE SOPERINE SOPERINE SOPERINE SOPERINE SOPERINE SOPERATION
49 50 51 52 53 54 55		U.S. Department of Commerce Penny Pritzker, Secretary National Institute of Standards and Technology
55 56	Willie May, Under Secretary of	National Institute of Standards and Technology Commerce for Standards and Technology and Director

#### Authority

58 This publication has been developed by NIST in accordance with its statutory responsibilities under the 59 Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014, 44 U.S.C. § 3541 et seq., Public Law 60 (P.L.) 113-283. NIST is responsible for developing information security standards and guidelines, including minimum requirements for federal information systems, but such standards and guidelines shall 61 62 not apply to national security systems without the express approval of appropriate federal officials 63 exercising policy authority over such systems. This guideline is consistent with the requirements of the 64 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-130. 65 Nothing in this publication should be taken to contradict the standards and guidelines made mandatory 66 and binding on federal agencies by the Secretary of Commerce under statutory authority. Nor should 67 these guidelines be interpreted as altering or superseding the existing authorities of the Secretary of 68 Commerce, Director of the OMB, or any other federal official. This publication may be used by 69 nongovernmental organizations on a voluntary basis and is not subject to copyright in the United States. 70 Attribution would, however, be appreciated by NIST. 71 72 73 National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication 800-184 Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. Spec. Publ. 800-184, 39 pages (June 2016) CODEN: NSPUE2 74 75 Certain commercial entities, equipment, or materials may be identified in this document in order to describe an 76 experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or 77 endorsement by NIST, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose. 78 79 There may be references in this publication to other publications currently under development by NIST in accordance 80 with its assigned statutory responsibilities. The information in this publication, including concepts and methodologies, 81 may be used by federal agencies even before the completion of such companion publications. Thus, until each 82 publication is completed, current requirements, guidelines, and procedures, where they exist, remain operative. For 83 planning and transition purposes, federal agencies may wish to closely follow the development of these new 84 publications by NIST. 85 Organizations are encouraged to review all draft publications during public comment periods and provide feedback to 86 NIST. Many NIST cybersecurity publications, other than the ones noted above, are available at 87 http://csrc.nist.gov/publications. 88 89 Public comment period: June 6 through July 11, 2016 90 All comments are subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). 91 National Institute of Standards and Technology Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory 92 93 100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930), Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930 Email: csf-recover@nist.gov 94 95

### **Reports on Computer Systems Technology**

97 The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology

98 (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical leadership for the Nation's

99 measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test methods, reference data, proof of 100 concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the development and productive use of

100 concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the development and productive use of 101 information technology. ITL's responsibilities include the development of management, administrative,

102 technical, and physical standards and guidelines for the cost-effective security and privacy of other than

103 national security-related information in federal information systems. The Special Publication 800-series

104 reports on ITL's research, guidelines, and outreach efforts in information system security, and its

105 collaborative activities with industry, government, and academic organizations.

#### 106

## Abstract

107 In light of an increasing number of cybersecurity events, organizations can improve resilience by ensuring

108 that their risk management processes include comprehensive recovery planning. Identifying and

109 prioritizing organization resources helps to guide effective plans and realistic test scenarios. This

110 preparation enables rapid recovery from incidents when they occur and helps to minimize the impact on

111 the organization and its constituents. Additionally, continually improving that recovery planning by

112 learning lessons from past events, including those of other organizations, helps to ensure the continuity of

113 important mission functions. This publication provides tactical and strategic guidance regarding the

114 planning, playbook developing, testing, and improvement of recovery planning. It also provides an

example scenario that demonstrates guidance and informative metrics that may be helpful for improving

116 resilience of the information systems.

### 117

### Keywords

- 118 cyber event; cybersecurity; Cybersecurity Framework (CSF); Cybersecurity National Action Plan
- 119 (CNAP); Cybersecurity Strategy and Implementation Plan (CSIP); metrics; planning; recovery; resilience

120	Acknowledgments
121 122	The authors wish to thank their colleagues from NIST and industry who reviewed drafts of this document and contributed to its technical content.
123	Trademark Information
124	All trademarks or registered trademarks belong to their respective organizations.
105	

126		Table of Contents	
127	Exe	cutive Summary	. 1
128	1.	Introduction	. 2
129 130 131 132		<ul> <li>1.1 Background</li> <li>1.2 Purpose and Scope</li> <li>1.3 Audience</li> <li>1.4 Document Structure</li> </ul>	2 3 3
133	2.	Planning for Cyber Event Recovery	. 5
134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144		<ul> <li>2.1 Enterprise Resiliency</li></ul>	5 7 8 .9 ity 11 12 13 13
145	3.	Continuous Improvement	15
146 147 148		<ul> <li>3.1 Validating Recovery Capabilities</li></ul>	15 17 17
149	4.	Recovery Metrics	19
150	5.	Building the Playbook	21
151	6.	An Example of a Data Breach Cyber Event Recovery Scenario	23
152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161		<ul> <li>6.1 Pre-Conditions Required for Effective Recovery</li></ul>	23 24 24 25 25 25 26 26
162		List of Appendices	
163	Арі	endix A— CSF Core Components and SP 800-53r4 Controls Supporting Recovery?	27
164	Ар	pendix B— Acronyms and Other Abbreviations	31
165	Ар	pendix C— References	32

#### 167 **Executive Summary**

168 Organizations used to focus their information security efforts on cybersecurity (cyber) event defense, but

adversaries have modified their attack techniques to make protection much more difficult, including

taking advantage of weaknesses in processes and people instead of just exploiting weaknesses in

technologies. As a result, the number of major cyber events continues to increase sharply every year.<sup>1</sup>

172 Over the last few years, there has been widespread recognition that some cyber events cannot be stopped.

173 As a result, organizations have started to enhance their cyber event detection and response capabilities.

174 Organizations are continuously improving their prevention capabilities with modern technology and tools

175 while augmenting their cyber event detection and response capabilities.

176 In 2015, members of the Federal Government reviewed cybersecurity capabilities and, as documented in

177 the Cybersecurity Strategy and Implementation Plan (CSIP) [2], identified significant inconsistencies in

178 cyber event response capabilities among federal agencies. The CSIP also stated that agencies must

179 improve their response capabilities. Although there are existing federal policies, standards, and guidelines

180 on cyber event handling, none of them focuses solely on improving security recovery capabilities, nor is

181 the fundamental information captured in a single document. The previous recovery content tends to be

182 spread out in documents such as security, contingency, disaster recovery, and business continuity plans.

183 Recovery is one part of the enterprise risk management process lifecycle; for example, the *Framework for* 

184 Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity [3], better known as the Cybersecurity Framework

185 (CSF), defines five functions: Identify, Protect, Detect, Respond, and Recover.<sup>2</sup> These functions are all

186 critical for a complete defense and may be executed simultaneously instead of occurring sequentially. At

187 a more fundamental level, the Recover function has a significant effect in shaping the other functions by

188 informing them with realistic data. Recovery can be described in two phases focused on separate tactical

and strategic outcomes. The immediate tactical recovery phase is largely achieved through the execution

190 of the recovery playbook planned prior to the incident (with input from Detect and other CSF functions as 191 required). The second phase is more strategic, and it focuses on the continuous improvement of all the

required). The second phase is more strategic, and it focuses on the continuous improvement of all the
 CSF functions to mitigate the likelihood and impact of future incidents (based on the lessons learned from

192 the incident as well as from other organizations and industry practices)

193 the incident as well as from other organizations and industry practices).

194 This document is not an operational playbook, but provides guidance to help organizations plan and 195 prepare recovery from a cyber event and integrate the processes and procedures into their enterprise risk

196 management plan. This document is not intended to be used as a playbook by organizations responding to

197 an active cyber event, but as a guide to develop their recovery plan in form of customized playbooks. As

referred to in this document, a playbook is an action plan that documents actionable set of steps an

199 organization can follow to successfully recover from a cyber event. While many fundamental activities

200 are similar for organizations of different sizes and from different industry sectors, each playbook can

focus on a unique type of cyber event and can be organization-specific, tailored to fit the dependencies of

its people, processes, and technologies. If an active cyber event is discovered, organizations that do not

have in-house expertise to execute a playbook can seek assistance from a trustworthy external party with

204 experience in incident response and recovery, such as through the Department of Homeland Security

205 (DHS) or an Information Sharing and Analysis Organization (ISAO), or a reputable commercial managed

206 security services provider.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For more information on the number of cyber events occurring within federal agencies, see Government Accountability Office (GAO) 15-714, September 2015 [1].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Throughout this paper, there are references to the five CSF functions to help organize the material. CSF is one of many informative references that organizations might use to prepare for recovery; see Appendix C for additional examples.

#### 1. Introduction 207

#### 1.1 Background 208

209 The Cybersecurity Strategy and Implementation Plan (CSIP) [2] defines recover as "the development and implementation of plans, processes, and procedures for recovery and full restoration, in a timely manner, 210 of any capabilities or services that are impaired due to a cyber event." A cyber event is a specific 211 212 cybersecurity incident or set of related cybersecurity incidents that result in the successful compromise of 213 one or more information systems. In the simplest cases, recovering from a cyber event might involve a 214 system administrator rebuilding a system or restoring data from a backup. But in most cases, recovery is 215 far more complex, involving combinations of people, processes, and technologies. The status of recovery 216 is usually better expressed as a gradient, with different degrees of progress toward recovery at any given time for different systems or system components, than a binary state of recovered or not recovered.

- 217
- 218 Recovery is one part of the enterprise risk management process lifecycle; for example, the Framework for
- 219 Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity [3], better known as the Cybersecurity Framework
- (CSF), defines five functions: Identify, Protect, Detect, Respond, and Recover.<sup>3</sup> These functions are all 220
- 221 critical for a complete defense and may be executed simultaneously instead of occurring sequentially. At
- 222 a more fundamental level, the Recover function has a significant effect in shaping the other functions by
- 223 informing them with realistic data. Recovery can be described in two phases focused on separate tactical
- 224 and strategic outcomes. The immediate tactical recovery phase is largely achieved through the execution 225 of the recovery playbook planned prior to the incident (with input from Detect and other CSF functions as
- 226 required). The second phase is more strategic, and it focuses on the continuous improvement of all the
- 227 CSF functions to mitigate likelihood and impact of future incidents (based on the lessons learned from the
- 228 incident as well as from other organizations and industry practices).
- 229 In 2015, members of the Federal Government reviewed cybersecurity capabilities and, as documented in
- 230 the CSIP, identified significant inconsistencies in cyber event response capabilities among federal
- 231 agencies. The CSIP also stated that agencies must improve their response capabilities. Although there are
- 232 existing federal policies, standards, and guidelines on cyber event handling, none of them has focused
- 233 solely on improving cybersecurity recovery capabilities, nor is the fundamental information captured in a
- 234 single document. The previous recovery content tends to be spread out in documents such as security,
- 235 contingency, disaster recovery, and business continuity plans.
- 236 Organizations used to focus their information security efforts on cyber event protection, but adversaries
- 237 have modified their attack techniques to make protection much more difficult, including taking advantage
- 238 of weaknesses in processes and people instead of just exploiting weaknesses in technologies. As a result,
- 239 the number of cyber events continues to increase sharply every year.<sup>4</sup> Over the last few years, there has
- 240 been widespread recognition that some cyber events cannot be stopped. As a result of this risk
- 241 recognition, organizations have started to enhance their cyber event detection and response capabilities.
- 242 Organizations are continuously improving their prevention capabilities with modern technology and tools
- 243 while augmenting their cyber event detection and response capabilities.
- 244 The increased emphasis on detection and response has an important implication leading to greater
- awareness of and desire for cyber event recovery. If the assumption is that cyber events will happen, then 245
- 246 recovery from those cyber events will also be needed. Recovery has also become more important to

<sup>3</sup> Throughout this paper, there are references to the five CSF functions to help organize the material. CSF is one of many informative references that organizations might use to prepare for recovery; see Appendix C for additional examples.

<sup>4</sup> For more information on the number of cyber events occurring within federal agencies, see Government Accountability Office (GAO) 15-714, September 2015 [1].

- 247 organizations because of the dependence on information technology (IT) for providing core business
- capabilities and meeting organizational missions. Organizations need to be prepared at all times to resume
- normal operations in a secure and timely fashion when cyber events occur.
- 250 Every organization has experienced some instances of cyber events and performed corresponding
- 251 recovery actions. Recovery brings together numerous processes and activities throughout the
- organization, such as business continuity and disaster recovery planning and plan execution.

## 253 **1.2** Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this document is to support federal agencies in a technology-neutral way in improving their cyber event recovery plans, processes, and procedures, with the goal of agencies resuming normal operations more quickly. This document extends, and does not replace, existing federal guidelines regarding incident response by providing actionable information specifically on preparing for cyber event recovery and achieving continuous improvement of recovery capabilities. It points readers to existing guidence for recovery of information technology <sup>5</sup>

- 259 guidance for recovery of information technology.<sup>5</sup>
- 260 While the scope of this document is US federal agencies, the information provided should be useful to
- any organization in any industry sector that wishes to have a more flexible and comprehensive approach to recovery.

263 This document is not an operational playbook, but provides guidance to help organizations plan and 264 prepare recovery from a cyber event and integrate the processes and procedures into their enterprise risk 265 management plan. It is not intended to be used as a playbook by organizations responding to an active 266 cyber event, but as a guide to develop their recovery plan in form of customized playbooks prior to the 267 active event. As referred to in this document, a playbook is a plan that documents actionable set of steps 268 an organization can follow to successfully recover from a cyber event. While many fundamental activities 269 are similar for organizations of different size and industry sector, each playbook can focus on a unique 270 type of cyber event and an organization's specific and tailored needs to fit the dependencies of its people, 271 processes, and technology. If an active cyber event is discovered, organizations that do not have in-house 272 expertise to execute a playbook can seek assistance from a trustworthy external party with experience in 273 incident response and recovery, such as through the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) or an 274 Information Sharing and Analysis Organization (ISAO), or a reputable commercial security services

275 provider.

### 276 **1.3 Audience**

- 277 This document is intended for individuals with decision making responsibilities related to cyber event
- 278 recovery. Examples include chief information officers (CIOs), chief information security officers
- 279 (CISOs), and authorizing officials for systems.

### **1.4 Document Structure**

281 The remainder of the document is structured as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Many organizations are also highly dependent upon Operational Technology (OT), including Industrial Control System (ICS) and other Cyber-Physical System (CPS) components, for delivery of services. This white paper is primarily focused upon IT, but the considerations provided may apply to OT and may be useful for planning and execution of OT recovery activities and also the future application of other types of technology, such as that described as the "Internet of Things".

- Section 2 describes the need for effective recovery planning in advance of a cyber event. The
   section provides information about improving enterprise resiliency, recovery processes and
   procedures, recovery communications, and insight sharing.
- Section 3 provides guidance for achieving continuous improvement of the organization's
   recovery processes and security posture. It emphasizes the need to validate recovery capabilities
   using a variety of techniques, including asking personnel for feedback on recovery plans, policies, and procedures, and periodically conducting exercises and tests that address real-world recovery.
- Section 4 gives examples of recovery metrics that may help organizations to measure their recovery performance and monitor their recovery performance over time.
- Section 5 summarizes the recommendations introduced in earlier sections to develop a recovery playbook which is composed of a tactical and strategic phase.
- Section 6 provides an example of a data breach cyber event recovery scenario that demonstrates
   the application of guidance in earlier sections.
- Appendix A provides mappings from the recovery processes and activities to the Cybersecurity
   Framework and related NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-53 security controls.
- Appendix B provides a list of acronyms and abbreviations that appear in the paper.
- Appendix C includes a list of external references that will provide additional information for the reader.

### **301 2. Planning for Cyber Event Recovery**

302 Effective planning is a critical component of an organization's preparedness for cyber event recovery. As 303 part of an ongoing organizational information security program, recovery planning enables participants to 304 understand system dependencies, critical personnel identities such as crisis management and incident 305 management roles, arrangements for alternate communication channels, alternate services, alternate 306 facilities, and many other elements of business continuity. Planning also enables the organization to 307 explore "what if" scenarios, which might be largely based on recent cyber events that have negatively 308 impacted other organizations, in order to develop customized playbooks. Thinking about each scenario 309 helps the organization to evaluate the potential impact, planned response activities, and resulting recovery 310 processes long before an actual cyber event takes place. These exercises help identify gaps that can be 311 addressed long before a crisis situation, reducing business impact of the gaps. Such scenarios also help to exercise both technical and non-technical aspects of recovery such as personnel considerations, legal 312 313 concerns, and facility issues.

- 314 This section describes the importance of cyber event recovery planning, including its integration
- throughout security operations. This section also provides guidance for improving cyber event recovery
- 316 planning. The primary purpose of this guidance is to help organizations be better prepared to develop a
- 317 plan and playbooks to recover from cyber events and thus have greater resiliency. Section 5 provides 318 guidance on developing a playbook, while Section 6 provides a playbook example.
- guidance on developing a playbook, while Section 6 provides a playbook example.

### 319 2.1 Enterprise Resiliency

320 As IT has become increasingly pervasive, nearly every organization has become highly dependent upon it

- 321 for delivery of services. Recovering normal operations for these services after a cyber event is often not a
- 322 binary activity. Organizations must understand how to be resilient, planning how to operate in a
- diminished capacity or restore services over time based on services' relative priorities. The DHS Risk
- Lexicon [4] defines resilience as the "ability to resist, absorb, recover from or successfully adapt to
- 325 adversity or a change in conditions." Taking resiliency into consideration throughout the enterprise 326 security lifecycle, everything from planning technology acquisitions and developing procedures to
- executing recovery and restoration efforts, is critical to minimizing the impact of a cyber event upon an
- 328 organization. This lifecycle is likely to contain similar elements across most organizations, although the
- 329 scale and activities within each element may differ depending upon the size and resources of the
- 330 enterprise.
- 331 While this document is primarily focused on recovering from a cybersecurity event, it is important to
- understand that the Cyber Incident Response Plan (CIRP)<sup>6</sup> should be developed as part of a larger
- 333 Business Continuity Plan (BCP). The BCP may include other plans and procedures for ensuring minimal
- impact to business functions, for example Disaster Recovery Plans and Crisis Communication plans.
- 335 NIST SP 800-61 Revision 2 defines CIRPs as the documents that "establish procedures to address cyber
- attacks against an organization's information system(s)." While many publications, including NIST SP
- 337 800-34 [6], provide useful advice for recovering a single information system or set of systems from
- 338 natural and manmade events, there is a clear need for organizations to be prepared to recover from a 339 significant cyber event that impacts their core business functions and impact their ability to support their
- 340 mission.
- 341 The categories of the CSF Identify function are particularly useful for planning, testing, and implementing 342 the organization's recovery strategy, including asset management, business environment, governance, risk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> NIST SP 800-61 Revision 2, *Computer Security Incident Handling Guide* [5], provides guidance on establishing a cyber incident response capability and plan.

NIST SP 800-184 (DRAFT)

- 343 assessment, and risk management strategy. Among the first steps in planning the recovery strategy is to
- identify and document the key personnel that will be responsible for defining the recovery criteria and
- associated plans, and to ensure that all these personnel understand their roles and responsibilities. Note
- that there may be multiple levels of stakeholders and roles each organizational tier may need to identify
- key stakeholders. Responsibilities of these stakeholders may be quite different for a cyber event as
- 348 compared to a physical event (e.g., a natural disaster).
- Each organization has a broad array of assets (e.g., people, information, infrastructure, facilities) that
- enable the governance, management, and use of IT to accomplish the enterprise mission. For recovery
- 351 planning and execution, the organization needs a reliable source of information regarding its people, 352 process, and technology assets, and the assets of external partners that are connected to or associated with
- enterprise resources. The organization should create and maintain a complete inventory as reflected in a
- 355 enterprise resources. The organization should create and maintain a complete inventory as reflected in a 354 configuration management database for large organizations or at a minimum a list of the assets that enable
- it to achieve its mission, along with all dependencies among these assets. This understanding may be
- 356 informed by several existing planning documents, including Business Impact Analysis (BIA)
- 357 assessments, Service/Operations Level Agreements (SLAs/OLAs), and Dependency Maps with a
- 358 particular focus on security dependencies that can administer or operate the asset.
- 359 While all assets are valuable, they do not all have the same potential impact to the organization if they
- become unavailable or experience reduced capability. The organization should document and maintain
- the categorizations of its people, process, and technology assets based upon their relative importance. The
- 362 prioritization of assets is critical given that many agencies and organizations do not have sufficient
- 363 resources to protect all assets to the same level of rigor and must prioritize their high-value assets, which
- 364 must be recovered to support the mission.
- 365 Many federal information systems are already categorized based upon the criteria in Federal Information
- 366 Processing Standards (FIPS) 199 and 200 [7]; organizations can add to this by categorizing their other
- 367 assets as well. Prioritizing resources by their relative importance to meeting the organization's mission
- 368 objectives is an important driver for determining the sequence and timeline for restoration activities 369 during or after a cyber event. This prioritization also helps the organization to consider categories of
- during or after a cyber event. This prioritization also helps the organization to consider categories of recovery events including cyber events and to plan appropriate mitigation steps for each category
- 370 recovery events, including cyber events, and to plan appropriate mitigation steps for each category.
- 371 Understanding recovery objectives relies upon understanding the interdependencies among resources. For
- 372 example, it is frequently necessary to recover an identity or authentication server before recovering files,
- 373 messaging, and data stored and processed on servers across the infrastructure. There may also be less
- obvious dependencies, such as a person taking the result of a computation from system A and mailing it
- to someone else, who then manually enters it into system B. These dependencies need to be considered
- 376 when setting objectives for recovery time and establishing the sequence for recovering systems.
- Furthermore, these dependencies should be categorized by organizational value. Other considerations
- include applicable regulatory, legal, environmental, and operational requirements. These relationshipsshould be mapped to understand how the organization's critical services are dependent on a tiered
- should be mapped to understand now the organization's cruical services are dependent on a tiered structure of support. For example, an organization's electronic mail services may be dependent on
- 381 Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) services and/or network services. If an event causes the
- 382 LDAP or network services to be degraded, then mail services will likewise be degraded. Similarly, there
- may be acquisition dependency considerations (alternate facilities, backup communication lines, spare
- 384 equipment, staffing surge support) that should be included in the planning. By understanding how each
- 385 service affects the organization's mission or business, staff can prioritize recovery efforts to best optimize
- 386 resilience.

#### 387 2.2 Recovery Planning Prerequisites

The Cybersecurity Framework provides a high-level mechanism for an organization to understand and improve its security posture by building upon capabilities that have already been implemented. The framework functions Identify, Detect, Protect, and Respond all work together in a concurrent manner and directly inform the Recover function. Information gathered and understood in the Identify function can provide a substantial amount of understanding about the organizations systems and the dependencies they require in order to provide business functions to support the mission.

- 394 Much of the planning and documentation for recovering from a cybersecurity event needs to be in place
- 395 before the cyber event occurs. The Identify function of the cybersecurity framework helps the 396 organization identify critical systems such as high-value assets – Information on which systems are
- critical to the organization's mission that must be recovered first as part of the Response activity. These
- 398 assets should be identified and assessed prior to an incident in the Identify activity so that the assets and
- 399 the security dependencies are well understood and correctly prioritized in the recovery guidance and
- 400 playbook(s). Planning may be informed by threat modeling, as described in draft NIST SP 800-154,
- 401 *Guide to Data-Centric System Threat Modeling* [8]. This publication describes this activity as "a form of
- 402 risk assessment that models aspects of the attack and defense sides of a particular logical entity, such as a
- 403 piece of data, an application, a host, a system, or an environment. The fundamental principle underlying 404 threat modeling is that there are always limited resources for security and it is necessary to determine how
- 404 to use those limited resources effectively." The outcome of the threat model exercise helps the
- 406 organization identify grouping of data, applications, and systems with various level of priorities and
- 407 criticality. This results in a functional and security dependency map that can help the organization risk
- 408 management team prioritize the implementation of adequate security protection mechanism, the incident
- response team react efficiently during a cyber event and identify the root cause when possible, and the
- 410 recovery team return the business capabilities in a prioritized and orderly manner. Additionally,
- 411 organizations should evaluate the use of containment principles to isolate access to business resources that 412 do not need to be closely integrated with high value asset (HVA) resources. An example of this
- 412 do not need to be closely integrated with light value asset (HVA) resources. All example of this 413 containment would be to restrict production workstations used to browse the internet and access email
- 413 containment would be to restrict production workstations used to browse the internet and acc 414 from access or managing the HVAs.
- 414 Hom access of managing the H v As.
- 415 Other proactive recovery assessments should help identify and enable the understanding of security 416 dependencies, particularly high value assets. This allows the response team to understand the key
- 417 components that define the organization's root(s) of trust in any operational environment:
- 418 Organizations should have a good understanding of the system boundaries, trust relationships, and identities that exist in their environment. Without clear definition and understanding of 419 420 identities, it will be difficult to be confident in the effectiveness of a recovery. For example, if a 421 directory is recovered but an adversary has access to an account to manage it, then the adversary 422 can persist access despite the efforts expended during the recovery. The adversary can use any 423 security dependency to persist, such as a service account with administrative privileges, a 424 forgotten/undocumented administrative account, an authorized management tool with installed 425 agents, or a public key infrastructure component used for authentication.
- Once an organization has a handle on the identities in its environment, it must ensure that they have the proper access controls applied to them, especially in regards to the management and control of the infrastructure. Without well-defined and maintained access control an organization cannot have full confidence that its infrastructure is properly secured. For example, if after recovery an adversary can still access the infrastructure that manages an organization's environment then they can make changes such that they can exploit the organization again. It is

432 critical that proper access controls are in place for the management of an organization's433 infrastructure.

Data integrity is the key driver and leads to confidence of the data. The organization has implemented sound processes and tools to protect the integrity of the business mission critical data and control and management of the infrastructure data. This will include mechanism to validate the data, monitor and detect it changes, and replication and backup based on organization's defined frequency. Once trust in the management and control data has been established, then the focus can shift to the integrity of the business, customer, employee, and partner data.

Without a good understanding of the functional and security dependencies, any tailored recovery plan isless likely to be effective at disrupting and eradicating the adversary.

### 443 **2.3 Recovery Planning Prerequisites**

444 A critical component of cyber event recovery is having guidance and playbooks that support the asset

prioritizations and recovery objectives identified in Section 2.1 and 2.2. This aligns with the first category

446 of CSF's Recover function - Recovery Planning (RC.RP). Recovery planning leads to the development of

447 recovery processes and procedures that are flexible enough to ensure timely restoration of systems and

448 other assets affected by future cyber events, and also comprehensive enough to have modular components 449 for frequently used procedures represented in a playbook, such as reestablishing control of accounts and

449 for frequently used procedures represented in a playbook, such as reestablishing control of accounts and 450 systems from advanced adversaries. The recommendations presented in this section cover selected aspects

- 450 systems non advanced adversaries. The recommendations presented in this section cover selected aspects 451 of recovery process and procedures planning; the fictional scenarios in Section 6 illustrate how those are
- 452 helpful during actual recovery activity.

# 453 **2.3.1 Planning Document Development**

454 A recovery plan provides a method to document and maintain specific strategies and decisions regarding

- the approved means for implementing and conducting business recovery processes. NIST SP 800-53
- 456 Revision 4, Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations [9],
- 457 includes recovery-relevant controls that apply to all federal systems.
- 458 While the details of a recovery plan need to be developed by each organization, a typical recovery plan 459 includes the following topics:
- Service level agreements Relevant service/operation/organization level agreement details –
   Information about existing written commitments to provide a particular level of service (e.g.,
   availability percentage, maximum allowable downtime, guaranteed bandwidth provision). This
   may include pre-established external engagement contract support that can assist and augment the
   organization's recovery team in the event of a major cyber event.
- 465
   Authority Documented name and point of contact information for two or more management staff members who may activate the plan.
- 467 Recovery team membership Point of contact information for designated members of the team
   468 who have reviewed, exercised, and are prepared to implement the plan.
- Specific recovery details and procedures Documented system details that apply to the given information system, with diagrams where applicable. These details may prescribe specific

- recovery activities to be performed by the recovery team, including application restoration details 471 472 or methods to activate alternate means of processing (e.g., backup servers, failover site).
- 473 • Out of band communications – Ability to communicate with critical business, IT, and IT security 474 stakeholders, including external parties like incident response and recovery teams, without using 475 existing production systems, which are frequently monitored by advanced adversaries.
- 476 Communication plan – Any specific notification and/or escalation procedures that apply to this • 477 information system. As an example, some systems impact users outside of the organization, and 478 legal, public relations, and human resources personnel may need to be engaged to manage 479 expectations and information disclosure about the incident and recovery progress.
- 480 Off-site storage details - Details regarding any arrangement for storing specific records or media • at an offline or offsite location. This is particularly critical given the credible threat of 481 ransomware that encrypts data and holds the decryption key hostage for payment. 482
- Operational workarounds Approved workaround procedures if the information system is not 483 • able to be restored within the recovery time objective (RTO). 484
- 485 Facility recovery details – Information relevant to resilience of a physical facility such as an • office location or a data center. Such details might include personnel notification processes, 486 alternate location information, and communications circuit details. 487
- 488 Infrastructure, hardware, and software – Details regarding access to the infrastructure, hardware, 489 and software to provide intermediary services used during the recovery process. Examples 490 include an identity management system, a recovery network, a messaging system, and a staging 491 system to validate the integrity of recovered data from backups and restore the system in order to 492 instantiate trust in the infrastructure.
- 493 Cyber event recovery planning may be documented in a recovery plan and/or other organizational plans. 494 For example, NIST SP 800-37 Revision 1, Guide for Applying the Risk Management Framework to 495 Federal Information Systems: A Security Life Cycle Approach [10] describes system security planning 496 documents that may have useful information for recovery planning purposes. NIST SP 800-34 Revision 497 1, Contingency Planning Guide for Federal Information Systems [6], details various types of contingency 498 plans, pointing out that "information system contingency planning represents a broad scope of activities 499 designed to sustain and recover critical system services following an emergency event." The intention of 500 cyber event recovery planning is not to duplicate all of this information in another document, but to 501 ensure that all necessary information is documented, readily accessible, and actionable.

#### 502 2.3.2 Process and Procedure Development

503 In accordance with the approved agency-wide information security program, the organization should 504 develop and implement the actual recovery processes that will help ensure timely restoration of 505

- capabilities or services affected by cyber events.
- 506 An approach to this may incorporate:
- 507 • Recovery guidance and playbook with major phases to include procedures, stages, and well-508 defined exit criteria for each stage, such as notification of key stakeholders
- 509 Specific technical processes and procedures that are expected to be used during a recovery •

- 510 This allows for both a flexible approach that can adapt to different situations as well as the required
- 511 technical specificity to ensure key actions are carried out in a high quality manner. Procedures should be
- 512 automated as much as possible to reduce errors in a challenging operating environment, which is typical
- 513 of recovery operations.

Based upon the catalog of services, infrastructures, and applications, and the recovery objectives defined, 514

- 515 the recovery planning team should determine specific continuity requirements in order to identify the
- 516 possible strategic business and technical options. The team may also be able to identify ways in which 517 automation could aid in the recovery. Engaging stakeholders in this activity helps ensure that recovery
- 518
- participants understand their roles, and it also improves repeatability and consistency of recovery 519 processes. In addition to building and improving rapport among the team members, involvement in this
- 520 modeling will remind business system owners of the realistic threats and help integrate cybersecurity
- 521 thinking.
- 522 Part of the recovery planning should include organizational trade-off discussions regarding resource
- 523 requirements and costs for each strategic technical recovery option. The discussions provide an
- opportunity to consider how achieving resilience objectives (e.g., 99.99% uptime) occurs at a resource 524
- 525 cost (e.g., cost of available spare equipment and/or facilities.) Such discussions may be aided by the
- 526 application of recovery metrics, described in Section 4 of this document. Additionally, the criticality of
- 527 the asset to the organization should be included in the trade-off discussions.
- 528 Recovery teams should integrate specific recovery procedures based upon the processes used within the
- 529 organization. Such procedures may include technical actions such as restoring systems from clean
- 530 backups, rebuilding systems from scratch, enhancing the identity management system and trust boundary,
- 531 replacing compromised files with clean versions, installing patches, changing passwords, and tightening
- network perimeter security (e.g., firewall rulesets, boundary router access control lists). Procedures may 532
- 533 also include non-technical actions that involve changes to business processes, human behavior and
- 534 knowledge, and IT policies and procedures.
- 535 Effective recovery will include ongoing use and improvement of both technical and non-technical actions.

#### 536 Determination of Recovery Initiation/Termination Criteria and Goals Security 2.3.3

- 537 Depending on the severity and nature of the incident and recovery operations, the decision to initiate
- 538 recovery processes may not be made by the recovery personnel, but by the organization's incident
- 539 response team, CISO, business owners, and/or other personnel involved in decision making for
- 540 addressing cyber events. Agreement and coordination of this criteria, especially involving timing, is
- 541 critically important to achieving successful recovery. For example, starting recovery before the
- 542 investigation response has achieved key understandings of the adversary's footprint and objective may
- 543 alert the adversary that an infiltration has been discovered, triggering a change in tactics that would defeat
- 544 the recovery operation. Such a change could mean the loss of indicators and visibility of the adversary's
- 545 activities, resulting in a reduced ability to discover impacted resources.
- 546 A coordinated response will help achieve a balance between effective forensic investigation and business
- 547 service restoration. This balance is a unique decision based on the balance between identification of the
- 548 root cause analysis and rapid restoration of services and systems to operational status. To achieve that
- 549 balance, the organization should formally define and document the conditions under which the recovery
- 550 plan is to be invoked, who has the authority to invoke the plan, and how recovery personnel will be
- 551 notified of the need for recovery activities to be performed.
- 552 As described above, full recovery or restoration may not be the immediate goal. Achieving resilience
- 553 might mean that a given resource is able to continue operation in a diminished capacity, such as during a

- denial of service attack or a destructive attack on a group of systems. Resilience can also mean containing
- adversary access or damage to a contained set of resources or limiting reputational and brand damage of
- the organization. Organizational recovery teams may be able to learn from internal resources (or through
- external partners, such as the United States Computer Emergency Readiness Team (US-CERT) or Sector
- Coordinating Councils) specific methods for successfully absorbing or adapting to adverse conditions.
   Such a solution might include an alternative or a partial restoration as an interim measure. In complex
- 559 Such a solution might include an alternative or a partial restoration as an interim measure. In complex 560 situations, recovery may have many levels, and while operational status should be progressing back to
- 561 normal, occasionally a step backward will be needed before achieving other steps forward, such as taking
- 561 a key system offline to perform recovery measures before conducting recovery actions on other systems.
- 563 Organizations should define key milestones for meeting intermediate recovery goals and terminating
- 564 active recovery efforts. Frequently, it is not possible or practical to achieve 100 percent recovery in a
- timely fashion, such as determining which offline virtual machine images have been compromised and
- should be replaced with clean backups. It is recommended to put security controls in place to
- automatically identify affected systems in the future and alert personnel so that recovery and any other
- necessary actions can be initiated. An organization in such a situation might declare this recovery
- 569 operation to be terminated when this automated system is in place, pending discovery of another active
- 570 incident. Section 4 provides a more detailed discussion of metrics related to recovery initiation,
- 571 intermediate goals, and termination.

# 572 **2.3.4** Root Cause and Containment Strategy Determination

- 573 Identifying the root cause(s) of a cyber event is important to planning the best response, containment, and 574 recovery actions. While knowing the full root cause is always desirable, adversaries are incentivized to
- 574 recovery actions. while knowing the full root cause is always desirable, adversari 575 hide their methods, so discovering the full root cause is not always achievable.
- 576 Before execution of recovery efforts start in earnest, the investigation should achieve two key objectives 577 to be considered sufficient:
- Basic knowledge of the adversary's objective (access specific data, systems, or communications)
   or incident response subject matter expert (SME) confirmation that the adversary's objective is
   not apparent.
- High confidence in either understanding the technical mechanisms the adversary is using to
   persist access to the environment or confirming non-persistence intent. Most targeted attacks that
   are part of a large campaign involve multiple types of well-concealed persistence mechanisms.
- 584 Without these objectives being met during the investigation, the recovery procedure has a high chance of 585 being ineffective or inefficient (in terms of resources and other costs). The investigation for the final root 586 cause may continue in parallel to the recovery after these objectives have been met, as the adversary may 587 change or evolve tactics and persistence mechanisms. Note that some scenarios such as ransomware or 588 extortion threats of system and information destruction may impose an external deadline on achieving
- 589 these objectives, forcing the organization to use incomplete information for the objectives in the recovery.
- 590 Organizations should adjust their incident detection and response policies, processes, and procedures to
- 591 emphasize sufficient root cause determination. While the search for the root cause may continue
- separately, there are instances where recovery will be initiated before that cause is determined. Effective
- 593 recovery depends on ensuring that all portions of a cyber event are addressed, so if one or more
- 594 vulnerabilities or misconfigurations are overlooked (e.g., compromised account credentials used to restore
- 595 critical services), the recovery personnel may inadvertently leave weaknesses in place that adversaries can
- 596 immediately exploit again. Elimination and containment failures might permit portions of a compromise

- 597 to remain on the organization's systems, causing further damage without the adversary even acting. The
- investigation of root cause can also be valuable in identifying previously unknown systemic weaknesses
- 599 that should be addressed throughout the enterprise. An example of this is a previously unknown access 600 path to an asset via a security dependency like a system management tool or security scanning service
- 601 account.

602 Once a resource is targeted and attacked, it is often targeted again or other resources within the

- 603 organization are attacked in a similar manner. Once organizations detect an attack, they should deploy
- 604 protection, detection, and response processes to other interconnected systems in the organization, as well
- as the affected systems, to minimize the attack's propagation across the infrastructure. The speed with
- 606 which this response needs to occur should be set through business risk-based decision making that takes
- 607 into account the potential negative impact of disrupting operations versus the risk of the systems being
- 608 compromised. Containment can help isolate the adversary from the untrusted assets and potentially isolate 609 compromised assets from recovered or rebuilt assets
- 609 compromised assets from recovered or rebuilt assets.

# 610 2.4 Recovery Communications

- 611 Planning for and implementing effective recovery communications are critical success factors for
- 612 achieving organization resilience. This is included in CSF category Recovery Communications (RC.CO),
- 613 which has the following described outcome: "Restoration activities are coordinated with internal and
- 614 external parties, such as coordinating centers, Internet Service Providers, owners of attacking systems,

615 victims, other CSIRTs, and vendors." Recovery communications includes non-technical aspects of

- 616 resilience such as management of public relation issues and organizational reputation.
- 617 The recovery team should develop a comprehensive recovery communications plan. Effective
- 618 communications planning is important for numerous reasons, including:
- Statements made in the heat of recovery may have significant legal and/or regulatory impact and must be worded carefully. Understanding, from a legal perspective, what may be said to whom and when will require extensive planning and advance discussion. There may be specific
   requirements regarding what may be released to outside organizations, including the media.
- Key stakeholders need to know sufficient information so that they understand their
   responsibilities during the recovery stage and can maintain confidence in the recovery team's
   abilities. Planning, testing, and ongoing improvement will help define the appropriate messaging
   for each type of stakeholder (e.g., external partner, customer, manager).
- Individual members of the recovery team may not have sufficient information to provide accurate and timely reporting of recovery status and activities. For example, while the team may understand that a recovery time objective will be missed, members may not be aware of a manual workaround being implemented. Agreement in advance on who will report information to whom is a critical aspect of the communications plan.
- For these reasons, teams need to plan in advance for recovery communications and ensure that lessons learned from internal and external events are integrated into the improvement processes. Communications considerations should be fully integrated into recovery policies, plans, processes, and procedures. The recovery team should consider establishing guidelines regarding what information may and/or should be shared with each type of constituent. For example, providing too much information or inaccurate information may do more harm than good, and insufficient information sharing could lead to further harm to the organization's reputation. When updates are being delivered to enable decision making, the updates

NIST SP 800-184 (DRAFT)

- should contain the necessary actionable information that will help the organization more effectively reachthe ultimate goal of resuming normal operations and maintaining that state.
- 641 Recovery teams should consider specific types of stakeholders in regard to communications planning,

642 including internal personnel (various IT teams, incident response personnel, senior management, business

643 unit owners, legal, human resources, privacy representatives, board of directors, etc.) and external parties

- 644 (computer security incident response teams (CSIRTs), business partners, customers, regulators, credit
- 645 reporting agencies, law enforcement, press/media, analysts, insurers, etc.) The organization should ensure
- that current points of contact for each type of stakeholder are established and maintained to minimize
- 647 delays during the recovery process. It is important to note that for effective recovery, communications
- should occur continuously across the tactical and strategic phases.
- 649 Some methods of communications may be unavailable (or undesirable) during recovery activities. For
- example, if the network has been compromised, email communications may be unwise. Recovery teams
- should be prepared for alternate means of secure and reliable communication, and should practice such
- 652 scenarios as part of ongoing improvement.

# 653 2.5 Sharing Recovery Insights

As stated in draft NIST SP 800-150, *Guide to Cyber Threat Information Sharing* [11], organizations are encouraged to share actionable information about cyber threats with other organizations. For example, an

656 organization that has just recovered from a major new threat could document its recovery steps and share 657 them with others so that those organizations could recover from the same threat or similar threats much

more quickly, or in some cases could detect cyber events more quickly and perhaps prevent them

659 altogether. Sharing recovery insights has become necessary in response to adversaries sharing their

660 methodologies, tools, and other information with each other for mutual benefit. Organizations can

661 similarly benefit by sharing recovery information.

662 Organizations should not share recovery information until after they have performed the necessary 663 planning and preparation activities, such as defining their information sharing goals, objectives, and 664 scope, and establishing information sharing rules. See NIST SP 800-150 for more information on

665 planning and preparatory activities.

# 666 **2.6 Summary of Recommendations**

- 667 The following are the key recommendations presented throughout Section 2:
- Understand how to be prepared for resilience at all times, planning how to operate in a diminished capacity or restore services over time based on their relative priorities.
- Identify and document the key personnel who will be responsible for defining recovery criteria and associated plans, and ensure these personnel understand their roles and responsibilities.
- Create and maintain a list of the people, process, and technology assets that enable the
   organization to achieve its mission (including external resources), along with all dependencies
   among these assets. Document and maintain categorizations for these assets based on their
   relative importance and interdependencies to enable prioritization of recovery efforts.
- 676 Develop comprehensive plan(s) for recovery that support the prioritizations and recovery
   677 objectives, and use the plans as the basis of developing recovery processes and procedures that
   678 ensure timely restoration of systems and other assets affected by future cyber events. The plan(s)

- should ensure that underlying assumptions (e.g., availability of core services) will not undermine
  recovery, and that processes and procedures address both technical and non-technical activity
  affecting people, processes, and technologies.
- Develop, implement, and practice the defined recovery processes, based upon the organization's
   recovery requirements, to ensure timely recovery team coordination and restoration of capabilities
   or services affected by cyber events.
- Formally define and document the conditions under which the recovery plan is to be invoked,
   who has the authority to invoke the plan, and how recovery personnel will be notified of the need
   for recovery activities to be performed.
- Define key milestones for meeting intermediate recovery goals and terminating active recovery efforts.
- Adjust incident detection and response policies, processes, and procedures to ensure that recovery does not hinder effective response (e.g., by alerting an adversary or by erroneously destroying forensic evidence).
- 693 Develop a comprehensive recovery communications plan, and fully integrate communications considerations into recovery policies, plans, processes, and procedures.
- 695
   Clearly define recovery communication goals, objectives, and scope, including information
   696
   697
   698
   690-150.

#### 699 **3.** Continuous Improvement

Cyber event recovery planning is not a one-time activity. The plans, policies, and procedures created for
 recovery should be continually improved by addressing lessons learned during recovery efforts<sup>7</sup> and by
 periodically validating the recovery capabilities themselves. This is reflected in CSF category
 Improvements (RC.IM), which states, "Recovery planning and processes are improved by incorporating
 lessons learned into future activities." Similarly, recovery should be utilized as a mechanism for

identifying weaknesses in the organization's technologies, processes, and people that should be addressed

to improve the organization's security posture and the ability to meet its mission. Since the outcome of

these types of identifications will help define long-term goals for the organization, continuous

improvement of the recovery plan is part of the strategic phase. This section provides insights into

improving an organization's recovery capabilities and security posture.

# 710 **3.1 Validating Recovery Capabilities**

711 Validating recovery capabilities refers to ensuring that the technologies, processes, and people involved in

recovery efforts are well prepared to work together to effectively and efficiently recover normal business

713 operations from disruptive cyber events.

There are several ways to validate recovery capabilities. The simplest method is to ask all of the

individuals who may be involved in response efforts to provide input on the recovery plans, policies, and

716 procedures. Although these documents should have already taken into account pertinent information and

717 insights provided by key business owners and IT staff members, many other individuals may have

responsibilities involving response efforts that are affected by these documents. In particular, the

individuals who will participate in hands-on recovery efforts should have the opportunity to review the

recovery documents related to their areas of responsibility so that they can comment on how realistic the expectations are and what their primary concerns are. For example, an individual may lack the tools or

training to recover a particular system within the expected time period. The appropriate personnel should

then decide how to best address these concerns.

In some cases, recovery concerns can be addressed by conducting exercises or tests. Exercises and tests

should be performed periodically to help the organization's real-world recovery capabilities, building

organizational "muscle memory" and identifying areas for improvement. Although it is tempting to avoid

tests in favor of exercises because of the possible disruption that tests can cause to operations, it is

generally much better to identify an unexpected operational issue during testing than during an actual

- 729 cyber event because more resources should be available to address the issue during testing. Some 730 organizations have found it helpful to intentionally introduce system failures as part of daily operations to
- round it helpful to intentionally infoduce system failures as part of daily operations to ensure that participants are always resilient and ready for a cyber event. An example of a potential test is
- disconnecting a critical system with high availability to ensure that failover occurs gracefully, with
- operations automatically switching to a hot spare. Organizations should use a combination of exercises
- and tests for recovery capability validation.

Recovery teams should practice a realistic scenario in a table top exercise where at least one member of each team is part of the adversary group that provides realistic obstacles and complexities for the defense and recovery team to navigate. Another practice is to use a newly discovered cyber event scenario

described in the news to develop or customize a playbook exercising the recovery plan documentation.

Adding realism like this will increase visibility of gaps in the organization proactively that can be resolved as part of continuous improvement to increase effectiveness in a real incident recovery.

resolved as part of continuous improvement to increase effectiveness in a real incident recovery

For more information on this, see the CSF Recovery function named Improvements (RC.IM).

- Exercises and tests can provide several benefits related to recovery, including the following:
- The exercise or test itself will remind participants of known risk scenarios and help them consider
   what actions they might take in a real cyber event.
- Exercise and test results will help confirm or refute assumptions that were made in planning, particularly regarding how realistic the recovery targets are.
- Exercises and tests will spotlight gaps and inefficiencies in the processes that should be addressed to ensure smooth responses in real-world cyber events.
- Personnel, especially those with new recovery-related responsibilities, will receive training through exercises and tests in recovery practices.

Recovery exercises and tests should be formally implemented at a frequency that makes sense for the organization, and the results should be recorded to help inform organizational cybersecurity activities.

751 Organization, and the results should be recorded to help inform organizational cybersecurity activities. 752 Organizations should set realistic objectives, with specific roles and responsibilities, for exercising and

752 testing recovery capabilities to verify their ability to adequately manage cybersecurity risk. It may also be

helpful to get assistance from a trustworthy external party with experience in such exercises, such as

through DHS or an Information Sharing and Analysis Organization (ISAO).

An important aspect of improving recovery processes and procedures is a realistic and comprehensive review of the results of the exercise or test. By understanding what worked and what did not, the recovery planners can identify areas for improvement, not only in the specific plan being tested but also in the planning processes themselves. As identified by the COBIT 5 Framework [12], the following may result from a post-exercise or post-test debrief:

- Validate assumptions made regarding current business operational and strategic objectives.
- Consider whether a revised business impact assessment may be required.
- Recommend and communicate changes in policy, plans, procedures, infrastructure, and roles and responsibilities for management approval and processing via the change management process.
- Review the recovery plan to consider the impact of new or major changes to enterprise
   organization, business processes, outsourcing arrangements, technologies, infrastructure,
   operating systems, and application systems.
- Define and maintain training requirements and plans for those performing continuity planning,
   impact assessments, risk assessments, media communication, and incident response.
- Ensure that the training plans consider frequency of training and training delivery mechanisms.
- Develop competencies based on practical training, including participation in exercises and tests.
- Monitor skills and competencies based on the exercise and test results.
- 773 The following resources may be useful for gaining a better understanding of exercises and tests:
- NIST SP 800-84, Guide to Test, Training, and Exercise Programs for IT Plans and Capabilities
   [13]

- NIST SP 800-34 Revision 1, Contingency Planning Guide for Federal Information Systems [6]
- NIST SP 800-115, Technical Guide to Information Security Testing and Assessment [14]
- NIST SP 800-61 Revision 2, *Computer Security Incident Handling Guide* [5]

# 779 3.2 Improving Recovery and Security Capabilities

780 In addition to identifying potential improvements to recovery capabilities through reviews by personnel

and periodic tests and exercises, organizations should also identify improvements from lessons learned during actual cyber event recovery actions. These lessons learned help drive improvements not only to

recovery itself, but also to the organization's security operations, policies, etc.

784 Improvements to the recovery capabilities themselves should be documented by measuring and analyzing 785 current and past cyber event recovery efforts to identify the most important issues, such as major 786 problems that caused significant delays in recovery or minor problems that occurred repeatedly. To gain

the most benefit, analysis should consider events' impact on the enterprise rather than just on individual

787 the most benefit, analysis should consider events impact on the enterprise rather than just on individual 788 systems. The organization should then determine how available resources can best be spent to address

- these issues. In some cases, the organization can adapt approaches to these issues previously taken by
- 790 other organizations.

791 Improving the organization's security posture by analyzing lessons learned from actual cyber event

recovery actions takes two forms. Short-term improvements can be achieved through identification of

10% low-level issues, such as a particular system not being patched often enough, which enabled it to be compromised while other similar systems stayed secure. Long-term improvements to the organization's

compromised while other similar systems stayed secure. Long-term improvements to the organization's security posture can be achieved through identification of high-level issues, such as providing inputs on

commonly seen system security issues to organizational risk assessment and management activities,

which in turn inform the enterprise information security program. This can lead to the acquisition of new

security technologies, the redesign of operational processes, or the initiation of other major changes to

how the organization conducts and secures its operations.

800 The individuals participating in recovery actions may find it challenging to balance the need to restore 801 normal operations quickly with the need to immediately document issues they encounter instead of

documenting such issues after recovery concludes. The former expedites the resolution of the current

cyber event, while the latter may help expedite the resolution of future cyber events and potentially

804 prevent some cyber events from ever occurring in the first place. Individuals should strive to document 805 issues to the extent necessary during recovery so that they have enough information to expand on their

805 issues to the extent necessary during recovery so that they have enough information to expand on their 806 documentation later in the recovery process or immediately after recovery is achieved. The longer

individuals wait to document lessons learned, the less likely it is that the lessons learned will be

808 documented accurately and completely.

# 809 **3.3 Summary of Recommendations**

- 810 The following are the key recommendations presented throughout Section 3:
- Gather feedback for the recovery plans and capabilities from those stakeholders that will have a role in recovery activities.
- Formally implement cyber event recovery exercises and tests at a frequency that makes sense for the organization, recording the results to help inform organizational cybersecurity activities.
   These events should include realistic objectives, with specific roles and responsibilities, for

- 816 exercising and testing recovery capabilities to verify the ability to adequately manage
  817 cybersecurity risk.
- Continually improve cyber event recovery plans, policies, and procedures by addressing lessons
   learned during recovery efforts and by periodically validating the recovery capabilities
   themselves.
- Use recovery as a mechanism for identifying weaknesses in the organization's technologies,
   processes, and people that should be addressed to improve the organization's security posture and
   the ability to meet its mission.
- At a minimum, validate recovery capabilities by soliciting input from individuals with recovery responsibilities and conducting exercises and tests.
- Strive to have recovery personnel document issues to the extent necessary during recovery so that
   they have enough information to expand on their documentation later in the recovery process or
   immediately after recovery is achieved.

#### **Recovery Metrics** 829 4.

830 Throughout the process of planning, exercising, and executing recovery activities as described in earlier 831 sections, the collection of specific metrics may help improve recovery and inform continuous improvement. It may be beneficial to determine these metrics in advance, both to understand what should 832 833 be measured and to implement the processes to collect relevant data. This process also requires the ability 834 to determine where the metrics that have been identified can be most beneficial to the recovery activity 835 and identify which activities cannot be measured in an accurate and repeatable way. It is important that 836 restoring business functions remains the primary task at hand, while the collection of recovery metrics is designed in a way such that the metric data is an automated output of the recovery activities. Metrics can 837 838 be detrimental to recovery if they hinder the recovery process, cause a rushed/incomplete investigation, or 839 create additional obstacles for recovery team efficiency. It is critical to ensure metrics provide useful 840 information that supports actionable improvement without being detrimental to recovery.

841 The majority of recovery metrics will be used to improve the quality of recovery actions within the

842 organization, such as to improve specific aspects or to perform a cost/benefit analysis of a particular

843 approach. Other metrics might be used as part of compulsory reporting (such as in response to an inquiry

844 from an external authority) or for information sharing (such as might be responsibly shared with US-

845 CERT). In each case, determining in advance what will be measured and which measures may be shared

will aid the organization's recovery efforts. As with the previously described communications plans, 846

847 sharing of metrics must be done with caution and should occur only with the approval of appropriate 848 organizational stakeholders, including senior managers, legal representatives, and regulatory compliance

849 personnel.

Organizations should decide when and how to use metrics during recovery because they can be either a 850

851 benefit or a hindrance. For well-defined and repeatable activities, metrics can help measure progress as

well as provide valuable feedback to improve the activity. For example, the replacement of user laptops 852

because of a malware infection may be commonplace and routine within a large organization. The 853

854 organization will have a well-defined process for recovering from the malware infection on a single

laptop, and metrics can be used to measure the time, cost, and other important information. On the other 855

856 hand, for events that are anomalous there might not be well-defined recovery procedures, so there would

857 not be predefined metrics to use. In this case, it could be unclear which metrics to gather, or metrics could

858 be misused, leading to a false sense of recovery. Because of these different types of situations,

859 organizations should give careful consideration as to when and how they will use recovery metrics.

860 Many organizations also face major incidents where adversaries gain full administrative access to most or

all IT assets in the enterprise during the course of the attack. The value of metrics in these cases may be 861

862 diminished, as these types of events should be rare once effective defenses and responses are

863 implemented. In the most extreme instances, a cyber event may be so severe that the issue is

864 unrecoverable and results in the loss of the financial viability of the organization itself. While such

occasions may be rare, it may be helpful for the organization to determine a "point of no return". 865

866 The following table provides some considerations regarding aspects of cyber event recovery, describing a

general area to be measured and some example metrics (e.g., cost, time, damage assessment, number of 867

incidents). It is important to note that resilience is a highly subjective area of cybersecurity, so comparing 868

869 recovery metrics among organizations or even within a single entity may produce misleading results.

## Table 4-1: Example Recovery Metrics

Recovery Area	Example Metrics	
Assessing Incident Damage and Cost Consider both direct and indirect costs; recovery damage and costs may be important evidence as part of a legal action.	<ul> <li>Costs due to the loss of competitive edge from the release of proprietary or sensitive information</li> <li>Legal costs</li> <li>Hardware, software, and labor costs to execute the</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>recovery plan</li> <li>Costs relating to business disruption such as system downtime (for example, lost employee productivity, lost sales, etc.)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Other consequential damages such as loss of brand reputation or customer trust from the release of customer data</li> </ul>	
Organizational Risk Assessment Improvement	<ul> <li>Frequency and/or scope of recovery exercises and tests</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Number of significant IT-related incidents that were not identified in risk assessment</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>System dependencies accurately identified</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Identified gaps during the recovery exercises or tests that help inform and drive the improvement in the other functions of the CSF</li> </ul>	
Quality of Recovery Activities	<ul> <li>Number of business disruptions due to IT service incidents</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Percent of business stakeholders satisfied that IT service delivery meets agreed-on service levels</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Percent of IT services meeting uptime requirements</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Percent of successful and timely restoration from backup or alternate media copies</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Number of recovery efforts that have achieved recovery objectives</li> </ul>	

871

### 872 **5.** Building the Playbook

The information gathering and planning activities the organization has conducted provide a substantial understanding of the mission supporting information systems as well as any dependencies, and intricacies

surrounding them. A foundational understanding of all of this information is critical for business

functions to remain operational when operating under normal conditions. In the event of a cybersecurity

event, this information becomes even more paramount, and these processes and procedures need to be

878 presented in an actionable manner in order to effectively restore business functions quickly and

holistically. The playbook is a way to express tasks and processes required to recover from an event in a

880 way that provides actions and milestones specifically relevant for each organizations systems.

881 This section summarizes the recommendations described in the previous sections. The goal is to to

provide a consolidated list of items that can be included in a playbook. The recovery activities can be

organized in two phases. The initial and tactical recovery phase is largely achieved through the execution

of the playbook developed as part of the planning efforts for cyber event recovery, which not only

prepares the organization for the recovery actions themselves, but also depends on the activities

886 performed during the protection, detection, and response functions of the enterprise risk management

887 lifecycle process. The actions can be organized into initiation, execution, and termination stages. The

888 second phase is more strategic; it focuses on the continuous improvement of the organization risk 889 management process lifecycle driven by the recovery activities. The second phase focuses on reducing the

organization's attack surface and minimizing cyber threats. The actions can be further organized into the

planning and execution stage, metrics stage, and recovery improvement stage. The lessons learned

identify the gaps and help inform the planning and execution of the other CSF functions.

The tactical recovery phase will depend on performing the following actions before and during the cyberevent:

- Create and maintain a list of the people, process, and technology assets that enable the organization to achieve its mission (including external resources), along with all dependencies among these assets. The creation of a map or diagram of the dependencies will help in planning the order of restoration.
- Bocument and maintain categorizations for all assets based on their relative importance and interdependencies to confidently prioritize recovery efforts.
- Identify and document the key personnel who will be responsible for defining recovery criteria and associated plans, and ensure these personnel understand their roles and responsibilities.
- Ensure that the correct underlying assumptions (e.g., availability of core services, trustworthiness of directory services, adversary's motivation is well understood) are made during the initiation of the recovery in order to prevent an ineffective recovery.
- Define and document the conditions under which the recovery plan is to be invoked, who has the authority to invoke the plan, and how recovery personnel will be notified of the need for recovery activities to be performed. Additionally, define key milestones, intermediate recovery goals, and criteria for finalizing active recovery efforts.
- Ensure initial restoration planning addresses the need for the recovery efforts to be tactical in nature in order to prevent recovery from negatively affecting the incident response (e.g., by alerting an adversary or by erroneously destroying forensic evidence).

- Examine the cyber event to determine the extent that recovery must be carried out, and initiate the corresponding plan for recovery accordingly.
- 915
   Develop a comprehensive recovery communications plan, while clearly defining recovery 916
   917
   918 Based upon this communications plan, consider sharing actionable information about cyber 918 threats with relevant organizations, such as those described in NIST SP 800-150.
- Gather feedback for the recovery plans and capabilities from those stakeholders that will have a role in recovery activities.
- Formally implement cyber event recovery exercises and tests at a frequency acceptable for the organization. These events should include realistic objectives, with specific roles and responsibilities, for exercising and testing recovery capabilities. Based on the results of these recovery activities the organizations should update cyber event recovery plans, policies, and procedures. They should also use the information learned from recovery activities to improve the organization's cybersecurity posture, ensuring the ability to meet its mission.
- Vet recovery capabilities by soliciting input from individuals with recovery responsibilities and conducting exercises and tests.
- Execute the tailored playbook that has been created during the cyber event.
- Gontinually document issues during recovery so that there is enough information to expand on documentation and improve capabilities later in the recovery process or immediately after recovery is achieved.
- Implement monitoring for events, signatures, etc. to alert the organization about known malicious
   behavior. Monitor the artifacts and evidence found during detection and response. This
   monitoring will extend into the strategic phase.
- 936 The strategic recovery phase will depend on performing the following actions before and during the cyber 937 event:
- Develop and implement an improvement plan for the organization's overall security posture
   based on tactical phase results.
- 940
   Continually execute communications plans to inform appropriate internal and external 941 stakeholders of the progress of the recovery effort. Internal stakeholders should be notified of any 942 improvements that need to be made to people, processes, and procedures, while external 943 stakeholders will need to be notified of any impact to them.
- Review defined milestones, goals, and metrics gathered throughout the tactical phase. This
   information can help quantify the effectiveness of the recovery effort, as well as identify areas
   that need improvement.

These actions are general recommendations that can be tailored in order to fit each organization's specific
 requirements. The next section applies these recommendations in a data breach cyber event recovery
 scenario.

## 950 6. An Example of a Data Breach Cyber Event Recovery Scenario

This section presents a scenario that illustrates how, using the guidelines provided in earlier sections of

this document, organizations can effectively recover from cyber events and subsequently use information

gained during recovery to improve cybersecurity processes. The scenario is not meant to be all inclusive

or exhaustive of cyber events, but to provide a means to demonstrate how to apply the document's

955 recommendations for a specific situation.

956 This scenario describes an organization that has experienced a breach of its network. Anomalous activity

957 was detected during recent log reviews, indicating that a malicious actor used stolen credentials to gain

access to one or more critical business and IT infrastructure systems. While the method of entry and the specific type of attack are not directly relevant to the recovery team, it is important to note that such a

960 breach jeopardizes the trustworthiness of the business unit and IT management systems.

961 For this scenario, network monitoring equipment confirms that a significant amount of personally

962 identifiable information (PII) has been exfiltrated. Additionally, there is the possibility that customer

963 financial data has been stolen.

# 964 6.1 **Pre-Conditions Required for Effective Recovery**

965 The organization understood the need to be prepared and conducted planning to operate in a diminished 966 condition. The recovery plan includes the following critical elements:

- Development of a set of formal recovery processes;
- Determination of the criticality of organizational resources (e.g., people, facilities, technical components, external services) that are required to achieve the organization's mission(s);
- 970
   Creation of functional and security dependency maps that helps to understand the order of restoration priority;
- Identification and selection of technology and key personnel who will be responsible for defining
   and implementing recovery criteria and associated plans;
- A comprehensive recovery communications plan with fully integrated internal and external communications considerations, including information sharing criteria informed by recommendations in NIST SP 800-150 [11]; and
- Periodic training and exercises to practice the defined recovery processes, based upon the organization's recovery requirements, to ensure timely recovery team coordination and restoration of capabilities or services affected by cyber events.

Because the organization has formally implemented cyber event recovery exercises and tests with realistic
 scenarios and clear roles and responsibilities, the organization is prepared to tackle the recovery task with
 limited assistance from external entities.

### 983 6.2 Tactical Recovery Phase

984 The following steps summarize the activities of the recovery team in the tactical recovery phase.

## 985 6.2.1 Initiation

- The incident response team informs the recovery team about the event.
- 987
   The recovery team meets to determine the criticality and impact of the cyber event to formulate 988 an approach and set of specific actions.
- 989
   Understanding that initiation of the recovery might alert the adversary, planning and tactical 990 recovery operations such as monitoring are increased. This is accomplished by heightening the 991 network defenses to look for lateral movements based a set of indicators of compromises that 992 have been generated by the incident response team. This helps validate the adversary's presence 993 on impacted systems.
- 994
   The incident response and recovery teams work collaboratively to understand the adversary's motivation and identify the adversary's footprint on the infrastructure, command and control channels, and tools and techniques.
- Based upon the criteria in the recovery playbook, the defined personnel determine that the
   recovery process is ready to begin because the team has a good understanding of the situation. All
   parties defined in the playbook are informed that the recovery activities have been initiated.
- It was determined that network-based communications (e.g., email) may be insecure and cannot be trusted. The team agrees to use in-person meetings and telephone conversations as alternate means of communication.
- The recovery team is briefed by the incident response team and understands which accounts and systems have been compromised. Without alerting the adversary, the team is able to contain them and regain control of the underlying management infrastructure.
- Based on prioritization of mission critical systems, the recovery team determines the order in
   which systems will be restored. The team uses the dependency map to build the restoration plan.
- The backup hardware, software, and data are inventoried, and responsible personnel are accounted as reflected in the recovery plan.

#### 1010 **6.2.2 Execution**

- The recovery team begins to execute the restoration by validating and implementing remediation countermeasures in coordination with the incident response team and other information security personnel to ensure that the underlying system weaknesses are not re-introduced, and to minimize the likelihood that the adversary can pivot within the organization. High-value assets are the key components and are handled first.
- The organization continues to execute its recovery plan, restoring additional business services and communicating, in accordance with the pre-existing communications criteria and in coordination with the legal and public affairs offices, regarding the restoration status.
- During restoration, the recovery team tracks the actual time that critical services were unavailable or diminished, comparing the actual outage with agreed-upon service levels and recovery times.
   Organizational managers are advised regarding objectives that may not or will not be

- 1022accomplished, and the team considers the impact so that proactive actions may take place (e.g.,1023routing traffic to a pre-arranged alternate service provider with pre-approved notification pages.)
- Designated staff document any issues that arise, and newly identified dependencies, to help
   expand on documentation later in the recovery process or immediately after recovery is achieved.
   Indicators of compromises are continuously captured, updated, and documented. Restoration
   techniques, tools, and procedures are customized and refined to the current cyber event.
- 1028 • While the services are being restored, other members of the recovery team work with business 1029 unit managers and senior leadership, in coordination with representatives from HR and legal, to discuss appropriate notification activities. Using the pre-agreed recovery communications plan, 1030 1031 the team drafts notices for employees, for customers affected by financial and/or privacy 1032 information leaks, and for the public. As a critical component of this step, additional surge support has been added to the customer support center and customers are kept abreast of the 1033 1034 status of recovery, sharing status accurately while abiding by the pre-agreed decisions regarding 1035 what information may be shared with whom, and when.
- Additional recovery steps are initialized, including external interactions and services such as prearranged credit monitoring services and additional customer support staff, to help restore confidence and to protect constituents.
- The recovery team asks the Security Operations Center (SOC) and in particular the incident
   response team and external subject matter experts to confirm that the newly rebuilt servers are not
   susceptible to the original issue and are ready to be restored to service. The team validates the
   restored assets are fully functional and meet the security posture required by the organization
   security team before it receives approval to restore network operations and make the servers
   publicly available.

### 1045 **6.2.3 Termination**

- The personnel determine that termination criteria have been met, declares the end of the tactical recovery event, and confirms, in consultation with business/system owners, that restoration has fully occurred.
- The team stands down and staff returns to executing their normal job functions.
- The SOC continues to monitor the infrastructure for potential persistency of malicious activities and continue to inform the incident response and recovery team. The goal is to make sure the organization has fully eradicated the adversary from the infrastructure and has exclusive control of the operational environment.
- The recovery team finalizes the metrics collected during the event.

#### 1055 6.3 Strategic Recovery Phase

1056 The following steps summarize the activities performed during the strategic recovery phase.

#### 1057 **6.3.1 Planning and Execution**

The recovery continues to support the various communication teams as they interact within the internal users and public customers.

The recovery teams close the loop with the external entities who have been involved during the tactical phase.

A plan is developed to include longer-term goals that have to be met to fully correct the root causes. These actions will involve vetting and approval from the management, business units, and IT teams, as they will include changes in the business workflows, the IT architecture, and operation of the assets. This plan includes eliminating legacy technology that can no longer be protected adequately, and adopting enhanced and modern protection and detection mechanisms. An example key finding for this event is the need to encrypt employee data in one of the payroll systems that was breached.

The IT team, with assistance from the recovery team, will start the execution and implementation
 of the long-term improvement plan once the changes to the architecture and enhanced capabilities
 have been approved and funded by the organization.

#### 1072 **6.3.2 Metrics**

- Upon formal completion of the event, the recovery team meets for an after-action review. During that meeting, members of the recovery team consider metrics that were gathered during the event (e.g., review of recovery objective assumptions, efficacy of training, additional plans required).
- The debriefing reviews the efficacy key milestones that were developed in planning activities,
   including those that identified interim recovery goals, to share with the team. The team reviewed
   other relevant metrics regarding assumptions made, recovery objective performance, and
   stakeholder communications achievement.

#### 1080 6.3.3 Recovery Plan Improvement

- Comparison of the performance of the team during the recovery against the estimated
   performance defined in the the plans enables the organization planners to consider what
   adjustments should be made to the plans. Hopefully there will not be a recurrence of the issues,
   but the organization must continue to always be prepared.
- These post-recovery steps help to continually improve cyber event recovery plans, policies, and procedures by addressing lessons learned during recovery efforts and by periodically validating the recovery capabilities themselves.

# Appendix A—CSF Core Components and SP 800-53r4 Controls Supporting Recovery

This appendix provides mappings from the recovery processes and activities to the Cybersecurity 1089 1090

Framework [3] and related NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-53 Revision 4 [9] security controls.

Function	Category	Subcategory	SP 800-53r4 Controls
	Asset Management (ID.AM): The data, personnel, devices, systems, and facilities that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to business objectives and the organization's risk strategy.	<b>ID.AM-3:</b> Organizational communication and data flows are mapped	AC-4, CA-3, CA-9, PL-8
		<b>ID.AM-5:</b> Resources (e.g., hardware, devices, data, and software) are prioritized based on their classification, criticality, and business value	CP-2, RA-2, SA-14, SC-6, PM-8
	<b>Business Environment</b> (ID.BE): The organization's mission, objectives, stakeholders, and activities are understood and prioritized; this information is used to inform cybersecurity roles, responsibilities, and risk management decisions.	<b>ID.BE-2:</b> The organization's place in critical infrastructure and its industry sector is identified and communicated	PM-8
IDENTIFY (ID)		<b>ID.BE-3:</b> Priorities for organizational mission, objectives, and activities are established and communicated	PM-11, SA-14
		<b>ID.BE-4</b> : Dependencies and critical functions for delivery of critical services are established	CP-8, PE-9, PE-11, PM-8, SA-14
		<b>ID.BE-5</b> : Resilience requirements to support delivery of critical services are established	CP-2, CP-11, SA-14, SA-13
	<b>Governance</b> ( <b>ID.GV</b> ): The policies, procedures, and processes to manage and monitor the organization's regulatory, legal, risk, environmental, and operational requirements are understood and inform the management of cybersecurity risk.	<b>ID.GV-1:</b> Organizational information security policy is established	AC-1, AT-1, AU-1, CA-1, CA-5, CA-6, CM-1, CP-1, IA-1, IR- 1, MA-1, MP-1, PE-1, PL-1, PL-4, PL-7, PL- 9, PM-4, PS-1, RA-1, SA-1, SC-1, SI-1

Function	Category	Subcategory	SP 800-53r4 Controls
	<b>Risk Assessment (ID.RA):</b> The organization understands the cybersecurity risk to organizational operations (including mission, functions, image, or reputation), organizational assets, and individuals.	<b>ID.RA-3:</b> Threats, both internal and external, are identified and documented	RA-3, SI-5, PM-12, PM-16
		<b>ID.RA-4:</b> Potential business impacts and likelihoods are identified	RA-2, RA-3, PM-9, PM-11, SA-14
		<b>ID.RA-6:</b> Risk responses are identified and prioritized	PM-4, PM-9
	<b>Risk Management</b> <b>Strategy (ID.RM):</b> The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerances, and assumptions are established and used to support operational risk decisions.	<b>ID.RM-3</b> : The organization's determination of risk tolerance is informed by its role in critical infrastructure and sector specific risk analysis	PM-8, PM-9, PM-11, SA-14
	Information Protection Processes and Procedures (PR.IP): Security policies (that address purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, and coordination among organizational entities), processes, and procedures are maintained and used to manage protection of information systems and assets.	<b>PR.IP-1:</b> A baseline configuration of information technology/industrial control systems is created and maintained	CM-2, CM-3, CM-4, CM-5, CM-6, CM-7, CM-9, SA-10
PROTECT (PR)		<b>PR.IP-4:</b> Backups of information are conducted, maintained, and tested periodically	CP-4, CP-6, CP-9
		<b>PR.IP-9:</b> Response plans (Incident Response and Business Continuity) and recovery plans (Incident Recovery and Disaster Recovery) are in place and managed	CP-2, CP-7, CP-12, CP-13, IR-7, IR-8, IR- 9, IR-10, PE-17
		<b>PR.IP-10:</b> Response and recovery plans are tested	CP-4, IR-3, IR-7, PM- 14

Function	Category	Subcategory	SP 800-53r4 Controls
DETECT (DE)	Anomalies and Events (DE.AE): Anomalous activity is detected in a timely manner and the potential impact of events is understood.	<b>DE.AE-1:</b> A baseline of network operations and expected data flows for users and systems is established and managed	AC-4, CA-3, CM-2, SI-4
	Response Planning (RS.RP): Response processes and procedures are executed and maintained, to ensure timely response to detected cybersecurity events.	<b>RS.RP-1:</b> Response plan is executed during or after an event	CP-2, CP-10, IR-4, IR-8
RESPOND (RS)	<b>Communications</b> ( <b>RS.CO</b> ): Response activities are coordinated with internal and external stakeholders, as appropriate, to include external support from law enforcement agencies.	<b>RS.CO-1:</b> Personnel know their roles and order of operations when a response is needed	CP-2, CP-3, IR-3, IR- 8
	<b>Improvements (RS.IM):</b> Organizational response activities are improved by incorporating lessons learned from current and previous detection/response activities.	<b>RS.IM-1:</b> Response plans incorporate lessons learned	CP-2, IR-4, IR-8
		<b>RS.IM-2:</b> Response strategies are updated	CP-2, IR-4, IR-8
RECOVER (RC)	Recovery Planning (RC.RP): Recovery processes and procedures are executed and maintained to ensure timely restoration of systems or assets affected by cybersecurity events.	<b>RC.RP-1:</b> Recovery plan is executed during or after an event	CP-10, IR-4, IR-8
	<b>Improvements (RC.IM):</b> Recovery planning and processes are improved by	<b>RC.IM-1:</b> Recovery plans incorporate lessons learned	CP-2, IR-4, IR-8
	incorporating lessons learned into future activities.	<b>RC.IM-2:</b> Recovery strategies are updated	CP-2, IR-4, IR-8

Function	Category	Subcategory	SP 800-53r4 Controls
	<b>Communications</b> ( <b>RC.CO</b> ): Restoration activities are coordinated with internal and external parties, such as coordinating centers, Internet Service	<b>RC.CO-1:</b> Public relations are managed	[Not currently included in SP 800-53 R4]
		<b>RC.CO-2:</b> Reputation after an event is repaired	[Not currently included in SP 800-53 R4]
Providers, owners of attacking systems, victim other CSIRTs, and vendo	Providers, owners of attacking systems, victims, other CSIRTs, and vendors.	<b>RC.CO-3:</b> Recovery activities are communicated to internal stakeholders and executive and management teams	CP-2, IR-4

# 1092 Appendix B—Acronyms and Other Abbreviations

1093 Selected acronyms and other abbreviations used in the guide are defined below.

Business Impact Analysis
Chief Information Officer
Chief Information Security Officer
Cybersecurity National Action Plan
Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology
Cyber-Physical System
Cybersecurity Framework
Cybersecurity Strategy and Implementation Plan
Computer Security Incident Response Team
Department of Homeland Security
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Federal Information Processing Standard
Government Accountability Office
Human Resources
Industrial Control System
Information Sharing and Analysis Organization
Information Technology
Information Technology Laboratory
Lightweight Directory Access Protocol
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Operations Level Agreement
Operational Technology
Protected Health Information
Personally Identifiable Information
Recovery Time Objective
Service Level Agreement
Special Publication
United States Computer Emergency Readiness Team

1094

#### 1095 Appendix C—References

- 1096 This appendix lists the references for the document.
  - [1] Government Accountability Office (GAO), GAO 15-714, *Federal Information Security: Agencies Need to Correct Weaknesses and Fully Implement Security Programs*, September 2015. <u>http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-15-714</u> [accessed 6/6/16]
  - [2] Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Cybersecurity Strategy and Implementation Plan (CSIP) for the Federal Civilian Government, OMB Memorandum 16-04, October 30, 2015. <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/memoranda/2016/m-16-04.pdf</u> [accessed 6/6/16]
  - [3] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity, Version 1.0, February 12, 2014.
     <u>http://www.nist.gov/cyberframework/upload/cybersecurity-framework-021214.pdf</u> [accessed 6/6/16]
  - [4] Department of Homeland Security (DHS), *DHS Risk Lexicon, 2010 Edition*, September 2010. <u>https://www.dhs.gov/dhs-risk-lexicon [accessed 6/6/16]</u>
  - [5] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), NIST SP 800-61 Revision 2, Computer Security Incident Handling Guide, August 2012. http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-61r2
  - [6] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), NIST SP 800-34 Revision 1, Contingency Planning Guide for Federal Information Systems, May 2010. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-34r1</u>
  - [7] Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 199, Standards for Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems, and FIPS 200, Minimum Security Requirements for Federal Information and Information Systems. <u>http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips199/FIPS-PUB-199-final.pdf</u>, <u>http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips200/FIPS-200-final-march.pdf</u> [accessed 6/6/16]
  - [8] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Draft NIST SP 800-154, Guide to Data-Centric System Threat Modeling, March 2016.
     <u>http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-154/sp800\_154\_draft.pdf</u> [accessed 6/6/16]
  - [9] Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative, NIST SP 800-53 Revision 4, *Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations*, April 2013 (including updates as of January 22, 2015). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53r4</u>
  - [10] Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative, NIST SP 800-37 Revision 1, Guide for Applying the Risk Management Framework to Federal Information Systems: A Security Life Cycle Approach, February 2010 (including updates as of June 5, 2014). http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-37r1

- [11] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Second Draft NIST SP 800-150, *Guide to Cyber Threat Information Sharing*, April 2016. <u>http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-150/sp800\_150\_second\_draft.pdf</u>[accessed 6/6/16]
- [12] ISACA, COBIT version 5. <u>https://www.isaca.org/cobit</u> [accessed 6/6/16]
- [13] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), NIST SP 800-84, *Guide to Test, Training, and Exercise Programs for IT Plans and Capabilities*, September 2006. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-84/SP800-84.pdf [accessed 6/6/16]
- [14] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), NIST SP 800-115, *Technical Guide to Information Security Testing and Assessment*, September 2008. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-115/SP800-115.pdf [accessed 6/6/16]