INCOMING TELEGRAM Department of State

3 CONFIDENTIAL Action 1958 EUR FROM: Bucharest Info Secretary of State T0: MAR 652, May 29, 11 a m. NO: SS. Ū SENT DEPARTMENT 652, REPEATED INFORMATION MOSCOW SP C DEPARTMENT POUCH OTHER BE POSTS, LONDON, VIENNA AND PARIS W Although local implications of withdrawal Soviet Warsaw treaty INR troops from Rumania will become fully clear only as and if withdrawal implemented, following preliminary comments may P be of interest. : CP LEC l. There has been no observable enthusiasm among Bucharest citizenry over May 24 communique on Moscow conference and OC3 private reaction non-official Rumanians, on basis limited US LA sampling, reveals skepticism as to both scope and timing of CIA One source doubted withdrawal "would change anywithdrawal. OSD thing," asserting in this regard that regime recently took ARMY" precautionary step of arming "worker guards" in factories. LAVY Another source doubted all Soviet troops would be removed and ALK noted Soviet frontier not far away. Not surprisingly, press NIC to date has not made special play of proposed withdrawal and

2. Legation sees considerable justification for popular cynicism at this stage on significance of withdrawal, which even if fully implemented will not basically alter Rumanian dependence on USSR. In separate message air attache expressed view withdrawal would be gradual and that Soviet technical personnel likely be retained in some strength in "advisory" positions, as at airfields. Also, announcements specifically restricted withdrawal to "troops in Rumania in connection with Warsaw Treaty," leading to speculation that USSR might retain certain forces Rumania as LOC troops in support division remaining Hungary or under other designations.

has treated it exclusively reinternational context as "new .

evidence of Soviet policy of peace".

PERMANENT

RECORD COPY • This copy must be recorded to River Record files with not the production from This.

CONFIDENTIAL

- -2- 652, May 29, 11 a.m., from Bucharest
- 3. However, even if certain Soviet forces remain in country, general implementation of withdrawal could have important local consequences. It would be vastly popular move among majority of population, (1) holding forth prospect of improved housing for townspeople in Timisoara, Arad, Constanta, Galati, Braila, et cetera, (2) presumably increasing amount of food available for Rumanian consumption, and (3) possibly nourishing hope that regime might have greater latitude to liberalize policies and orient them more in national interest than in past.
- 4. It seems certain that regime, which on credit side should realize substantial economic benefits as well as some possible increase in prestige intermally (see 3 above) and externally, must nevertheless realize progress of withdrawal will be delicate period requiring vigilance against possible antiregime activity. Extent its concern in this respect has not yet become apparent, and we doubt that any specific plan of action has been developed at this juncture. Cautious leadership of Gheorghiu-Dej, however, almost certainly sought and received general assurances of Soviet support in event internal crisis.
- 5. Pescription of "proposal" in communique as Soviet rather than joint Soviet-Rumanian, as well as character of Soviet-Rumanian relationship, suggests initiative on withdrawal was Soviet rather than Rumanian. We have no evidence indicating how long proposal was under consideration, but no hint thereof reached Legation from local sources.

SWANK

BB:LAS/4

Pouched by DCT