-	IIQUITA BRANDS Mult	i-P	agc <sup>TM</sup> (b)(7)(C)
-	Page 65	T	Pa
ı	relatively small and although it says method of payment as	11	So when I see stuff like two pigs, you know, I
ż	being cash, in one instance they had a donation of two pigs.	2	honestly don't know whether he would have had to have
3	That clearly wasn't cash. So I'm not absolutely certain that	3	approved that or not. On the other hand; he might have. It
4	(b)(7) would have necessarily been aware of each and every	4	might have been, hey, listen, I am going to approve you
5	single one of these things.	5	know, whether it's a thumb tack, if it's going to the army
6	The point is that it would be appropriate for	6	command, I want to know about it and I'm going to approve it
7	(b)(7)( to say to (b)(7) listen, have you picked up all of	17	I just don't know.
8	this, you know, any kind of donations that we made in fuel or	8	Q Well, in your periodic meetings, what level of
9	pigs or this, that or the other thing, and have you reported	9	detail did you go through the sensitive payments? And by
10	it. And if ((b)(7)() had responded, yes, I've reported it	10	sensitive payments, I mean both the ones that would requir
	(b)(7)(C) the controller, (b)(7) has access to, you know, to	111	FCPA disclosure and other types of sensitive payments.
12	the entries and so forth and so on. To me, it would be	12	Q It was the kind of thing that we went through in
13	perfectly appropriate that (b)(7) not include it on his form.	13	some detail initially until a pattern was established. And
14	Now, whether that - upon reflecting at this very	14	let me explain that a bit. The guerilla payments. The
15	instant, you know, whether that is absolutely precisely in	15	guerilla payments would not have appeared on this list
16	conformity with the policy or whether the policy would	16	because they were not FCPA sensitive type payments, but
17	require each and every person who had any knowledge to	17	guerrilla payments, that obviously was quite a revelation f
18	essentially repeat the procedure, I'm actually not sure.	18	us and quite - it was a big deal.
19	Q Do you know whether (b)(7) would review the	19	So in the initial periods when we started
20	submissions of his underlings?	20	experiencing this kind of extortion, first of all, that
21	A I don't know.	21	required not because there was a policy in place, but just
22	Q Did you have an expectation that he was reviewing	22	good sense, that required discussing that at some pretty hig
23	the submissions of his underlings?	23	levels in Chiquita; so it was discussed with me and I
24	A I didn't have an expectation that he was physically	2.4	discussed it with my boss and so forth and so on.
25	reviewing the document and signing off on the document. I	25	Q When you say initial periods, what time period are
	Page 66		Pag
1	had an expectation that as general manager that he would have	1	you referring to?
2	been concerned that the documents were being filed on a	2	A I want to say post-'87, but it's tough for me to
3	timely basis and that everybody understood that they needed	3	refine it much beyond that. It would have been periods when
4	to file these documents on a timely basis and that it was a	4	we were beginning to own our own farms.
5	serious requirement.	5	Q Okay.
	Q We talked briefly about the approval process for		
6		6	A So initially, when these kind of payments were
· · ·	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth		A So initiality, when these kind of payments were being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One
7	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth	7	
7		7 8	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One
7 8 9	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth' later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to	7 8 9	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns - a number of managerial concerns, not legal
7 8 9 10	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $\frac{ (b)(7)(C) }{ (C) }$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the	7 8 9 10	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concern - a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got - we've told the right
7 8 9 10	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $\frac{ (D)(T)(C) }{ (C) }$ was approving a payment which	7 8 9 10 11	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns – a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got – we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation
7 8 9 10	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $\frac{(b)(7)(C)}{(c)}$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that be is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct?	7 8 9 10 11 12	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concern - a number of managerial concerns, not logal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got - we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $(\underline{[b](7)(C)}]$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that be is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns – a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got – we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $(\underline{b})(\underline{C})(\underline{C})$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that he is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns – a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got – we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that (10)(7)(C)	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns – a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got – we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in eash and you're dealing in you know, maybe you're dealing.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that ([D](7)(C)] was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that he is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure be made by him or somebody that reports to him.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns – a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got – we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in cash and you're dealing in – you know, maybe you're dealing. in \$20,000, maybe you're – you know, how do we know that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $(\underline{[D](T)(C)}]$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that be is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure be made by him or somebody that reports to him. BY $(\underline{[D](T)(C)}]$	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concern - a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got - we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in cash and you're dealing in - you know, maybe you're dealing in \$20,000, maybe you're - you know, how do we know that somebody is not - bow do we know that that really is a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being. I want to understand that to the extent that $(\underline{b})(\overline{C})(\underline{C})$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that be is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure be made by him or somebody that reports to him. BY $(\underline{b})(\overline{T})(\underline{C})$ Q Do you know, is $(\underline{b})(\overline{T})(\underline{C})$	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns – a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got – we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in cash and you're dealing in - you know, maybe you're dealing in \$20,000, maybe you're – you know, how do we know that somebody is not – how do we know that that really is a legitimate extortion payment, that it's ending up, where it's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $(\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that be is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure be made by him or somebody that reports to him. BY $(\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ Q Do you know, is $(\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ required to approve all payments of this sort?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns – a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got – we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in cash and you're dealing in - you know, maybe you're dealing in \$20,000, maybe you're you know, how do we know that somebody is not bow do we know that that really is a legitimate extortion payment, that it's ending up, where it's designated to be and how do we know that, you know.(b)(7)
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $(\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that be is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure be made by him or somebody that reports to him. BY $(\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ Q Do you know, is $(\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ required to approve all payments of this sort? A I don't I honestly don't know. I'm sure that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns - a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got - we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in cash and you're dealing in you know, maybe you're dealing in \$20,000, maybe you're you know, how do we know that somebody is not how do we know that that really is a legitimate extortion payment, that it's ending up, where it's designated to be and how do we know that, you know (tb)(7) (b)(7)() isn't stealing it or ((b)(7)(C)) isn't stealing it or
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $(b)(7)(C)$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that he is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure be made by him or somebody that reports to him. BY $(b)(7)(C)$ Q Do you know, is $(b)(7)($ required to approve all payments of this sort? A I don't I honestly don't know. I'm sure that there is - again, this is sort of more of a technical kind	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns - a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got - we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in cash and you're dealing in you know, maybe you're dealing in \$20,000, maybe you're you know, how do we know that somebody is not how do we know that that really is a legitimate extortion payment, that it's ending up, where it's designated to be and how do we know that, you know $(b)(7)$ (b)(7)() isn't stealing it or $(b)(7)(C)$ isn't stealing it or what have you?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $(b)(7)(C)$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that be is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure be made by him or somebody that reports to him. BY $(b)(7)(C)$ Q Do you know, is $(b)(7)(c)$ required to approve all payments of this sort? A I don't I houestly don't know. I'm sure that there is - again, this is sort of more of a technical kind of auditing and accounting approval levels and so forth and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns – a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got – we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in cash and you're dealing in - you know, maybe you're dealing. in \$20,000, maybe you're - you know, how do we know that somebody is not – bow do we know that that really is a legitimate extortion payment, that it's ending up, where it's designated to be and how do we know that, you know $(b)(7)$ (b)(7)() isn't stealing it or $(b)(7)(C)$ isn't stealing it or what have you? The short answer is that to some degree you don't
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 21	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being. I want to understand that to the extent that $( b (7) (C) )$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that be is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure be made by him or somebody that reports to him. BY $( b (7) (C))$ Q Do you know, is $( b (7) ()$ required to approve all payments of this sort? A I don't. I honestly don't know. I'm sure that there is - again, this is sort of more of a technical kind of auditing and accounting approval levels and so forth and so on, so I can't really tell you. Some of it would have	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns – a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got – we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in cash and you're dealing in - you know, maybe you're dealing. in \$20,000, maybe you're - you know, how do we know that somebody is not – bow do we know that that really is a legitimate extortion payment, that it's ending up, where it's designated to be and how do we know that, you know $(b)(7)$ (b)(7)() isn't stealing it or $(b)(7)(C)$ isn't stealing it or what have you? The short answer is that to some degree you don't
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 21	some payments and we will get back to that in more depth later, but for the time being, I want to understand that to the extent that $(b)(7)(C)$ was approving a payment which would necessitate a disclosure on this form, there is the expectation that be is going to disclose that on his own form. Is that correct? A I think in my mind, it is fair to assume that he is certain that a disclosure of those payments is made, whether that disclosure be made by him or somebody that reports to him. BY $(b)(7)(C)$ Q Do you know, is $(b)(7)(c)$ required to approve all payments of this sort? A I don't I houestly don't know. I'm sure that there is - again, this is sort of more of a technical kind of auditing and accounting approval levels and so forth and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being made, there are a number of concerns, right? One concerns - a number of managerial concerns, not legal concerns. There was the legal concern, first of all, is it legal. We got beyond that, we got - we've told the right people, both in and outside of Colombia, we got documentation blessing the transaction, that it was legal. Then there's the concern, well, you're dealing in cash, and you're not dealing in two pigs, you're dealing in cash and you're dealing in you know, maybe you're dealing in \$20,000, maybe you're you know, how do we know that somebody is not bow do we know that that really is a legitimate extortion payment, that it's ending up where it's designated to be and how do we know that, you know $(b)(7)$ (b)(7)() isn't stealing it or $(b)(7)(C)$ isn't stealing it or what have you?

Diversified Reporting Services, Inc. (202) 296-9626, 11-23-99

....

A adding of a second second

••••

••••

Page 65 - Page 68



National Security Archive,

Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University, 2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037, Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, nsarchiv@gwu.edu