

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

STATEMENT OF  
VICE ADMIRAL MARSHALL LYTLE  
DIRECTOR COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS  
AND COMPUTERS/CYBER, JOINT STAFF  
BEFORE THE SENATE ARMED SERVICES  
SUBCOMMITTEE ON CYBERSECURITY  
MAY 23, 2017

9 **INTRODUCTION**

10 Chairman Rounds, Ranking Member Nelson, and Members of the  
11 Subcommittee, thank you for inviting us to discuss the Joint Force's efforts in  
12 cyberspace. I appreciate the opportunity to explain the progress made to improve  
13 America's cyber defense posture.

14 I will focus my comments on three primary missions in cyberspace and  
15 describe the current approach to strengthening the cyber warfighting capabilities  
16 of the Joint Force. Toward that end, I will describe the state of our ongoing  
17 efforts to man, train, and equip the Cyber Mission Force, as well as the Joint  
18 organizations needed to Command and Control them. Finally, while I cannot  
19 discuss particulars in an unclassified statement, I will broadly describe the cyber  
20 capabilities needed to support both offensive and defensive teams.

21 **JOINT STAFF ROLE**

22 As part of my duties as the Director for Command, Control,  
23 Communications and Computers/Cyber, I work with our Joint Staff Operations,  
24 Planning and Resourcing leaders to integrate strategic cyberspace matters,  
25 including synchronization with national strategies, readiness tracking of joint  
26 cyber forces, and development of capabilities and concepts to support the  
27 Chairman's decision making. We work closely with the Principal Cyber Advisor,  
28 the Office of the Secretary of Defense staff and the Services to assess, address  
29 and advocate for the Combatant Commands' cyber mission requirements and  
30 priorities in support of the National Defense Strategy.

31 **PRIMARY MISSIONS IN CYBERSPACE**

32 The Joint Force executes the Defense Department's three primary cyber  
33 missions in support of the National Defense Strategy. The Joint Force defends  
34 the Department's networks, systems, and information. The United States  
35 military's dependence on cyberspace for operations led the Secretary of Defense

36 in 2011 to declare cyberspace an operational domain for purposes of organizing,  
37 training, and equipping United States military forces. The Joint Force must be  
38 able to secure networks against attack and recover quickly if security measures  
39 fail. To this end, network defense operations are conducted on an ongoing basis  
40 to securely operate the Department of Defense Information Networks. When  
41 indications of hostile activity are detected within networks, the Joint Force has  
42 capabilities to react, recover and return the networks and systems to a secure  
43 posture. Accordingly, network defense operations on Department's networks  
44 constitute the vast majority of the Joint Force's efforts in cyberspace.

45 In addition to protecting Defense Department networks, the Joint Force  
46 must be prepared to defend the United States and its interests against  
47 cyberattacks of significant consequence when directed by the President or his  
48 national security team. This second cyber mission is performed on a case-by-  
49 case for significant cyber events that may include loss of life, significant damage  
50 to property, serious adverse United States foreign policy consequences, or  
51 serious economic impact on the United States.

52 Third, when directed by the President or the Secretary of Defense, the  
53 Joint Force must provide integrated cyber capabilities to support military  
54 operations and contingency plans. Examples include cyber operations that  
55 disrupt and adversary's military related networks or infrastructure in order to  
56 terminate an ongoing conflict on United States terms, or to disrupt an adversary's  
57 military systems to prevent the use of force against United States interests.

58 United States Cyber Command, in coordination with other United States  
59 Government agencies, may be directed to conduct cyber operations to deter or  
60 defeat strategic threats in other domains. These primary missions are  
61 underpinned by three main cyberspace capability elements used to assess  
62 Combatant Commands' ability to execute their operational plans.

63 **ELEMENTS OF CYBERSPACE CAPABILITY**

64 This statement will not include information about offensive force or  
65 capability due to its classification, however, offensive components are important  
66 and are coupled with our defensive forces and capabilities to achieve maximum  
67 effects.

68 Cyber forces, cyber defenses and defensible cyber terrain are the three  
69 main elements that determine the Joint Force's our ability to achieve the primary  
70 cyber missions. Together, these elements factor into our ability to prevail against  
71 determined and capable nation-state cyber threat actors.

72 Of the cyber forces, the first line of defense -- "fixed force defenders" --  
73 that operate and defend assigned network enclaves and associated defenses.  
74 Sometimes referred to as "cyber enterprise defense forces", they are composed  
75 of military cyber units that form the backbone of secure network operations.  
76 They include Service and Agency Network Operations and Security Centers,  
77 Cyber Security Service Providers, and Cyber Incident Response Teams, among  
78 others.

79 The Cyber Mission Force (CMF) is the Joint Force's "maneuver force" in  
80 cyberspace. The CMF is composed of 133 teams with objectives that directly  
81 align to the Department's three cyber missions. These tactical teams are  
82 command and controlled by a planning and execution structure led by United  
83 States Cyber Command through its subordinate Joint Force Headquarters.

84 The second capability element, dedicated cyber defenses, are arrayed in  
85 a defense-in-depth posture with a focused level of tiered defenses including the  
86 Department's Internet Access Point defense suites, the Joint Regional Security  
87 Stacks, and Service and Agency network security boundaries at the  
88 organizational and installation levels. These tiered defenses comprise our  
89 primary defense against external threats in cyberspace.

90           The final main element of the Department's cyberspace capabilities is  
91 defensible cyber terrain. The nature of cyberspace means that individual end-  
92 user machines are directly susceptible to compromise, and that a single  
93 compromise can quickly proliferate laterally to other machines. This inside threat  
94 coupled with the human factor introduced by users necessitates the protection of  
95 all networked systems to a specified minimum level of cybersecurity. Over the  
96 past year, the Department made significant gains in hardening our systems  
97 under the Department Cybersecurity Scorecard effort. Coupled with increased  
98 end point security, we must continue to train all personnel until they have a  
99 working knowledge of cybersecurity practices, and hold leaders accountable for  
100 instilling a culture of cybersecurity discipline.

101           Further improving the defensibility of cyber terrain involves systematically  
102 identifying "Mission Relevant Cyberspace Terrain" and obtaining situational  
103 awareness of that terrain in support of critical missions. Executing the DoD  
104 Cyber Strategy line of effort on mission assurance, the Joint Staff led a  
105 Department-wide initiative to bring together expert planners from the cyber  
106 defense and mission assurance communities to forge and codify a new approach  
107 to identifying the key cyber terrain that underpins the Joint Force's critical  
108 missions. This approach was vetted and refined during exercises. A formal  
109 Planning Order was sent out to all Combatant Commands last month toward that  
110 end, the culmination of 18 months of effort.

111           As the senior Joint Staff cyber leader, my main focus is on the manning,  
112 training and equipping of the cyber force. The remainder of my statement will  
113 focus on the successes and unique challenges faced in building and maintaining  
114 the world's premiere cyber force.

115   **CYBER FORCES**

116           The Joint Force's ability to man the cyber force is predicated on the

117 assumption that the force is a net exporter of cyber talent. Much like pilots, air  
118 traffic controllers and other highly technical military specialties, the Joint Force  
119 does not compete with industry, but rather is focused on building training  
120 programs and strategies to grow talent, leverage Reserve Component expertise,  
121 and retain adequate numbers of seasoned cyber operators to meet the growing  
122 demands in cyberspace. By anchoring our personnel strategies in net production  
123 vice competition, in addition to leveraging direct hires and native talent, we will be  
124 better able to produce adequate numbers of cyber experts while enhancing the  
125 collective cyber defense posture of our Nation.

126       Developing a training program for cyber operators resembles the challenge  
127 faced in training pilots and aircrew to operate the world's most advanced aircraft,  
128 maintaining their skills on the latest aircraft systems, and sustaining their  
129 numbers to ensure a constant sufficiency of motivated and technically excellent  
130 personnel. Creating a "pipeline" in the United States military's air components  
131 took many years. I am unsurprised by the challenges encountered while  
132 constructing the training and personnel pipeline for the Cyber Mission Force.

133       The Joint Force completed the Cyber Mission Force Training Transition Plan  
134 in January of this year. The plan introduced a joint training model and addresses  
135 the Cyber Mission Force Reserve Component training demand. As part of this  
136 effort a training funding shortfall was identified, and the Joint Staff is working with  
137 the Office of the Secretary of Defense to mitigate this shortfall.

138       The make-up of the cyber force is unique in warfighting because one-third of  
139 its composition is civilian. This poses a unique recruiting and retention  
140 challenge. We appreciate the committee's focus on this unique challenge and  
141 Congress' efforts to improve our ability to address this issue with Section 1107 of  
142 the FY16 National Defense Authorization Act. The Department of Defense Chief  
143 Information Officer's office is pursuing a permanent fix via the implementation of

144 the Department's Cyber Excepted Service program.

145       Equally important to manning and training the Cyber Mission Force is  
146 evolving from the narrowly focused Service platforms employed by cyber  
147 operators to a standardized joint capability that equips the force effectively and  
148 efficiently with integration into existing planning and force development  
149 constructs. The framework for equipping the Cyber Mission Force for both  
150 defensive and offensive missions is built upon a family of interoperable systems  
151 from which the Cyber Mission Force can operate and synchronize operations.  
152 The Joint Force is conducting an Analysis of Alternatives to determine how best  
153 to equip the Cyber Mission Force with Title 10 mission platforms.

154       The Cyber Mission Force – all 133 teams -- met their Initial Operating  
155 Capability milestone in Oct 2016. All teams are also on track to meet their Full  
156 Operating Capability milestone by Oct 2018. More than half of the teams have  
157 already met their Full Operating Capability milestone and all 133 teams are  
158 actively performing their assigned missions defending DOD networks, protecting  
159 weapons platforms, and defending critical infrastructure. Despite these  
160 successes, there are still significant readiness challenges that impact the cyber  
161 force. Joint training standards have been published and instituted standardized  
162 readiness reporting in the Defense Readiness Reporting System in order to track  
163 and address these challenges. This nascent tracking capability is beginning to  
164 identify trends that will help us better shape Service policy and resourcing  
165 requirements in the future.

166       Each Service is working their unique cyber manpower challenges as part  
167 of their man, train and equip responsibilities. They have learned and adapted  
168 over the past four years, instituting a number of personnel policy changes to  
169 ensure the success of the Cyber Mission Force and its associated cyber tactical  
170 headquarters. For example, all of the Services are leveraging their Reserve

171 Components to augment Cyber Mission Force teams, either in whole or in part,  
172 while adding Federal, State and local cyber surge capacity allowing the nation to  
173 collectively respond to major threat activity in cyber.

174         The Navy and Marine Corps continue to utilize individual augmentees to  
175 fill gaps in their active duty Cyber Mission Force teams and are looking at other  
176 ways to utilize their Reserve Components to address critical skillsets and  
177 shortages. Also, the Air Force utilizes its reserve component to present 3 full  
178 teams to the Cyber Mission Force as part of their total force contribution. Behind  
179 these 3 “full-time equivalent” teams are 15 rotating reserve teams comprised of  
180 Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard members that provide 12 teams of  
181 surge capacity in addition to the 3 full time teams required by United States  
182 Cyber Command. Finally, the Army Reserve Component began building an  
183 additional 21 teams to augment the original 133 Cyber Mission Force teams as  
184 well. Once fully built, the reserve Component will be providing approximately a  
185 fifth of the total Cyber Mission Force surge capacity of 166 teams. The build and  
186 training plan for these additional Reserve Component forces is included in the  
187 Cyber Mission Force Training Transition Plan referenced earlier should you wish  
188 further details.

189         The Cyber Mission Force continues to grow and mature, as does the  
190 increasing need to Command and Control and synchronize the global efforts of  
191 this complex and geographically dispersed warfighting capability. The Joint Staff  
192 recently completed a revised Command and Control model that streamlines the  
193 command relationships and synchronizes actions in support of Combatant  
194 Command campaigns. This model, coupled with manpower assessments  
195 performed by a team of joint manpower experts last summer and fall, informed a  
196 Joint Manpower Validation effort completed last month. The Department is  
197 currently working with the Services to review resourcing requirements for the



198 future.

199 **CONCLUSION**

200 Thank you again, Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member Nelson, and Members of  
201 the Committee for the opportunity to provide this statement. I am grateful for the  
202 Committee's oversight and your support for our men and woman in uniform.



National Security Archive,  
Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University,  
2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037,  
Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, [nsarchiv@gwu.edu](mailto:nsarchiv@gwu.edu)