At next Co-Chairmen's meeting you should convey foregoing to Roshchin. We anticipate that Soviets may object to Myrdal proposals for amending Article VI, particularly as disarmament

0

Orafied by:

ACDA/IR: CGleysteen: aow 2762 | Tell East. | Tell East. | Tell East. | Tell East. | The Secretary | Classification approved by:

ACDA-Mr.Fisher

L - Mr. Meeker AEC-Mr.Labowitz (sub.)

DOD/ISA-Dr.Halperin y G/PM-Mr.Shaw

IO-Mr.Day S/S - Mr. Parter

CONFIDENTIAL

Classification

FORM DS-322

CONFIDENTIAL
Classification

131

P

negotiations other than GCD would be treaty obligation only of nuclear weapon states and "begin nuclear disarmament" seems to point more to cut-off than at any other measure. If Roshchin takes this line, you should say that while we agree that partial disarmament measures on part of non-nuclear weapon states remain important objective of US and, we assume, USSR, we accept logic of argument that NPT deals with nuclear weapons and that purpose of Article VI is to meet objections of non-nuclear weapon states that August 24 draft did not reflect sufficient balance of obligations.

Preambular kanguage xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx CTB would be acceptable to US because it simply refers to a previous treaty. Given strong Swedish agitation in past for further progress toward CTB and Swedish argument that it is inter-related to NPT, we do not see how Swedes could have devised formulation more easy for nuclear weapon states to

reference to

accept under the circumstances.

You should however propose to Roshchin that preambular reference be recorded "to seek to achieve the discontinuance" to make it track more faithfully with LTBT language.

FYI Del should exercise due caution in discussion prospects for CTB with other dels. END FYI.

FORM DS-322A CONTINUATION SHEET

CONFIDENTIAL Classification

Classification

US could accept Swedish formulation for periodic review. However, language set forth in State 111605 is equally acceptable to us.

FYI. We assume other suggestions made by Myrdal (paras. D through H) were not presented in form of amendments because Swedes realize they would not be acceptable to one or more parties whose adherence to NPT would be essential to its success. However, we have no objection to them as expression of view of Swedish delegation. We recognize Myrdal would want these suggestions on the record because they flow fix from ideas she has pushed persistently in past.

This instruction applies only to Feb. 8 amendments. We doubt there is anything in Feb. 13 amendments we and Soviets can agree on. END FYI.

You should tell Roshchin that we believe it would be in our joint interest to accept three Myrdal amendments of Feb 8 as modified. We would like to have Soviet views soonest as we also wish to consult our allies regarding these changes to Jan 18 text. We assume that amendments there would be very few, if any, additional amendments tabled which we would find acceptable. Therefore, we would like to be in a position to be able to incorporate these three Swedish amendments in present joint drafts before the end of this month in order to be

FORM DS-322A 6-63 DS-322A CONTINUATION SHEET CONFIDENTIAL Classification

fram to GENEVA

CONFIDENTIAL Classification

in position to devote final week or two of conference to preparing report to UNGA.

GP-3

END

RUSK

J22A

CONFIDENTIAL Classification

10



This document is from the holdings of:

The National Security Archive

Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University

2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037

Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, nsarchiv@gwu.edu