605432022

(b)(1) (b)(3) EO 12958 1.4(b)<25Yrs EO 12958 1.4(c)<25Yrs EO 12958 1.4(d)<25Yrs EO 12958 1.4(e)<25Yrs EO 12958 3.5(c)

Top Secret

### **Intelligence Report**

DCI Counterterrorist Center

9 April 1999

Islamic Terrorists: Using Nongovernmental Organizations Extensively (U)

Many Islamic terrorist and extremist groups

rely on nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) for funding, and their exploitation of these organizations is likely to grow.

 NGOs also provide an easily exploitable international network for logistics support, which for many terrorists is more valuable than the funds they may receive through NGOs.

Although there are more than 6,000 Islamic NGOs and charities, only a few dozen support terrorists. Those that do generally fall into three basic categories:

- Large, internationally active organizations headquartered in the Persian Gulf countries, which provide official support to the NGOs. These organizations most often are exploited by individual employees sympathetic to terrorist causes without the knowledge of the organization's leadership. The illicit activity tends to take place at local branch offices rather than at headquarters locations.
- Private NGOs, some of which are headquartered outside the traditional Muslim world. Several offices of these NGOs exist solely to support a militant cause, making them somewhat more

susceptible to extremist penetration.

NGOs closely affiliated with a state sponsor of terrorism

The availability of funds, cover, and logistics networks makes NGOs an appealing resource for terrorist groups. NGOs typically are awash in money

2300011

APPROVED FOR RELEASE⊓ DATE: 25-April-2012 logistical support NGOs offer includes cover employment, false documentation, travel facilitation, training, and, in some cases, weapons.

Top Secret

Measures

Terrorists typically penetrate NGOs by finding individual sympathizers who divert resources in support of the group, but in a few instances, entire NGO offices, including senior management positions, are staffed by extremists.

Most efforts by Persian Gulf states to curb terrorist use of NGOs by restricting the collection of funds within their borders have been ineffective, largely because the steps taken do not address the diversion of resources at the branch offices. Domestic popular support in the Gulf states for the work of Islamic NGOs often outweighs pressure on these governments to improve NGO accountability. Donors may be reluctant to contribute to an NGO publicly linked to terrorism in the aftermath of a specific terrorist incident, but over time these donors tend to believe such cases are rare and that their contributions are going toward the NGO's legitimate work.

adopted by NGOs to provide greater headquarters oversight of local branches could deter abuse where it is growing the fastest.

Top Secret



Top Secret

#### Islamic Terrorists: Using Nongovernmental Organizations Extensively (U)

Most nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) worldwide are accredited with the United Nations, and many work with the United Nations High Commission for Relief following natural disasters or other humanitarian crises, providing food, clothing, shelter, immediate medical care, and other social services. The majority of Islamic nongovernmental organizations are educational or charitable non-profit organizations established by Sunni Islamic activists and typically pursue a double agenda—to provide humanitarian relief to needy communities and to spread the Islamic faith as they interpret it. Islamic NGOs also consider the defense of Muslims involved in armed conflicts part of their "humanitarian" duties—explaining why many Islamic NGOs provided support, including weapons, to the Afghan and Bosnian *mujahedin* forces in the 1980s and 1990s, respectively.

 Despite their nongovernmental status, many Islamic NGOs receive substantial financial support from traditional Muslim government institutions.

Three Types of NGOs Used by Terrorists

Of the more than 6,000 Islamic NGOs and charities, only a few dozen—which fall into three basic categories—support terrorists.

The first group includes the large, internationally active NGOs based in Saudi Arabia or one of the Persian Gulf states that are exploited by individual employees with ties to extremists. These NGOs receive the political—and sometimes financial—support of their host governments. Terrorist abuse of such NGOs takes place at the local branch office rather than at the organizations' headquarters. Senior NGO leaders usually are unwitting of the activity and willing to take corrective action when apprised of the abuse.



, **,** , ,

	Ton Secre	t
•		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	was investigating	
	providing legal documentation to extremists	]
		)
	-	
	Finally, the third type of NGO terrorists use is one that maintains a close affiliation	1
	with a state sponsor of terrorism and often acts more as a foreign policy or intelligence tool of the state sponsor particularly large Sudan and Libra $^2$	
	intelligence tool of the state sponsors, particularly Iran, Sudan, and Libya. <sup>2</sup>	-
	Funding and Logistics Drive NGO Use	
	Many Islamic terrorist and extremist organizations use NGOs to fund their activitie For many terrorists, NGOs represent a dependable, and seemingly endless, resource	
	base. The popularity of the legitimate charitable work performed by most NGOs	-
Г	ensures their staying power and ability to raise money.	
	-	
	<sup>2</sup> The US Department of State reviews states suspected of enoncoving torrarism and provides	
	<sup>2</sup> The US Department of State reviews states suspected of sponsoring terrorism and provides an official list of these annually. Currently, Iran, Iraq, Sudan, Libya, Syria, North Korea, and	- <u></u>
	<sup>2</sup> The US Department of State reviews states suspected of sponsoring terrorism and provides an official list of these annually. Currently, Iran, Iraq, Sudan, Libya, Syria, North Korea, and Cuba are on that list. (U)	
	an official list of these annually. Currently, Iran, Iraq, Sudan, Libya, Syria, North Korea, and	
	an official list of these annually. Currently, Iran, Iraq, Sudan, Libya, Syria, North Korea, and Cuba are on that list. (U)	
	an official list of these annually. Currently, Iran, Iraq, Sudan, Libya, Syria, North Korea, and	

\$

	as from the closure of any	one NGU.		
				-
	,		·	
	-			
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	rovided terrorist and extra es may be more valuable sts cover employment, fa me cases, weapons.	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic
which in many cas NGOs offer terrori	es may be more valuable ists cover employment, fa	than outright fun	ding. Several I	slamic

Too Secret

· · · ·		
	Case Study: Bin Ladin Use of al-Haramayn	ramal al
	Usama Bin Ladin has established close relationships with employees in sev Haramayn offices has used these ties to divert resources to support his terrorist agenda	and
· · ]	members of Bin Ladin's organization, either planted or coopte	
	Ladin after they began working for the NGO.	a by bin
	· ·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · ·		
	8	
	· · · ·	



TOD Secret In a few cases, NGO offices are staffed almost entirely by extremists, probably the result of sympathizers convincing their colleagues to engage in the illicit activity or senior managers directing their subordinates. State sponsors increasingly have turned to private NGOs to hide their involvement in terrorism Bin Ladin apparently has created his own NGOs and planted members of his organization, al-Qa'ida, in others. 10

Top Secret Mixed Results in Curbing Terrorist Use of NGOs Minimal Efforts by Donor States. Efforts by Persian Gulf states to curb NGO support to terrorists have had limited impact and are only partially enforced. Control measures adopted in the past few years whose citizens are the major source of funding for Islamic NGOs-focus on the collection of funds within their countries only, offering no oversight of the finances once the money reaches branch offices or recipients abroad. 11

#### C05432022 **[**.

						Tom Somet	
	• 		 ۹	-			
i							
-		-					
					<i></i>		
· ·							
			ł				
<i>.</i>				~			
,							
			i				
-							
	·						
	<u>.</u>			·			
		-	 12				
· ·							

.

.



#### C'0543/2022

Separating funding used for illicit versus legitimate activities is difficult. No method currently exists to ensure that funds collected in the Gulf states by NGOs

Tou Secret

 Even if host countries controlled the donor collection process, terrorists could still use local branch offices for logistics support.

are not diverted to terrorists or their supporters in the branch offices.

• The popularity of Islamic NGOs in the traditional Muslim world, with their religious and humanitarian mandates, makes strong actions a tough sell to local constituencies. Gulf state governments, in particular, may fear that efforts to control NGOs or pressure them to control themselves would be viewed by the public as limiting the organizations' ability to perform its legitimate tasks

Actions Against Individual Abusers a Successful Tactic. The greatest success against terrorist abuse of NGOs has come in the form of legal or official action against individuals. Such action not only disrupts temporarily the terrorists' flow of support, but the resulting negative publicity also has forced some NGOs to monitor their personnel more closely to avoid losing donors.

Closing an entire NGO branch office has proven a significant—though sometimes temporary—disruption to terrorists, forcing extremists in the vicinity to scale back their activities.

• Unfavorable publicity concerning terrorist-ridden local branches or individual extremists employed by NGOs could persuade NGO headquarters' elements to improve their oversight of employment and travel documentation and the accountability of funds. Moreover, donor or host nations could take advantage of

15

. .

Tun Secol

negative public opinion to impress upon NGO leaders the need to adopt such measures.

4° 7		•			ř	Ton Secret	• <u> </u>	
		•~						
					Ļ			
	Subject: Islamic	Terrorists: U	sing Nongovernmer	ntal Organiz	ations E	ctensively (U	D	
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·				
·	Distribution: Internal:		·	4				
								•
	-						2	
	3							
		·						
						1.		
					-			
				`				
			, , <sub>0</sub>					
								•
·								
·								
•								
			17		· · · ·		· .	]
			·.		• 1			•
•								

5

..

18

.

.

.

.

Tim Secret

.

٤

.19

.

TODISISHIE

×\* ø

. . .

.

· · · ·

.

\_\_\_\_\_ 20

Top Secret

.

•

•

. .

Top Secret

Subject:

Distribution:

External Department of State

1 - Edward G. Abington, Jr., Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, INR

David Carpenter, Assistant Secretary of State, Diplomatic Security
Ambassador Johnnie Carson, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Africa

I - Ambassador Robert Gelbard, Special Representative for Dayton Implementation

1 - Marc Grossman, Assistant Secretary of State for European and Canadian Affairs

I - Morton Halperin, Director, Policy Planning Staff

Karl Indefurth, Assistant Secretary for South Asian Affairs
Amb. Martin Indyk, Assistant Secretary for Near Eastern Affairs

1 - Amb. E. Gibson Lanpher, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for South Asian Affairs

1 - Ronald Neumann, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Near Eastern Affairs

Too Secret

#### 1 - Phyllis Oakley, Assistant Secretary of State for Intelligence and Research

1 - Amb. Thomas Pickering, Under Secretary for Political Affairs

1 - Susan Rice, Assistant Secretary for African Affairs 1 - Dennis B. Ross, Special Middle East Coordinator

1 - Ambassador Michael Sheehan, Coordinator for Counterterrorism

Strobe Talbott, Deputy Secretary of State
Toni Verstandig, Deputy Asssistant Secretary, Near Eastern Affairs

1 - David Welch, Senior Deputy Assistant Secretary, Near Eastern Affairs

#### National Security Council

1 - Daniel Benjamin, Director for Counterterrorism

- 1 The Honorable Samuel R. Berger, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs
- 1 Antony Blinken, Special Assistant to the President and Senior Director for European Affairs
- 1 Richard A. Clarke, Special Assistant to the President and National Coordinator for Security. Infrastructure Protection and Counterterrorism

 Major General Donald Kerrick, Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs

1 - Bruce Riedel, Special Assistant to the President and Senior Director for Near East and South Asian Affairs

1 - James Steinberg, Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs Office of the Vice President

1 - Leon Fuerth, Assistant to the Vice President for National Security Affairs

#### **Department** of Defense

1 - LTG Edward G. Anderson III, Director J5, Strategic Plans & Policy

1 - MGEN John P. Casciano, Assistant Chief of Staff, Air Force Intelligence 1 - BGEN Richard Comer. Deputy Assistant Secretary Missions & Policy, SOLIC

1 - VADM Scott Fry, Director, J-3 Operations

1 - Keith Hall. Director. National Reconnaissance Office

1 - LTG Patrick M. Hughes, Director, Defense Intelligence Agency

1 - RADM Lowell E. Jacoby, Director, Naval Intelligence

1 - Frank Jones, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Peacekeeping

1 - Franklin D. Kramer, Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs

1 - GEN Charles C. Krulak, Commandant of the Marine Corps

1 - MGEN John Maher, USA Deputy J-3, Joint Chiefs of Staff

1 - Barry McConnell, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Africa, International Security Affairs

1 - GEN Joseph Ralston, Vice Chairman, JCS

1 - Alina Romanowski, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs

1 - Brian E. Sheridan, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict

1 - Walter Slocombe, Under Secretary of Defense for Policy

Top Secret

1 - Frederick C. Smith, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for ISA

1 - RADM Thomas Wilson, Director of Intelligence, Joint Staff (J2) Federal Bureau of Investigation

1 - Dale Watson, Deputy Assistant Director for Terrorism National Security Agency

1 - Director, NSA

Department of Energy

Department of Justice

1 - Mark M. Richard, Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division Department of Treasury

Top Secret Federal Aviation Administration Congress 1 - HPSCI 1 - SSCI 25

tar isa

·

·

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_26

Top Secret

.

6

. •

# -C05432022

• | • .

.

. . .

:

.

4

### Top Secret-

· · ·