DECLASSIFIED Authority E. D. 12958 By/ CARA Date 7/19/00

March 2, 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR HENRY A. KISSINGER

FROM: Al Haig

SUBJECT: Memorandum from Secretary Laird Enclosing Preliminary Draft of Potential Military Actions re Vietnam

-- At Tab A is a memorandum from Secretary Laird enclosing the initial, albeit unsanctioned, plans prepared by the JCS in response to your request of January 27 for such a scenarlo.

-- At Tab B is a proposed reply to Secretary Laird for your signature with some suggestions for modifications which might be considered.

The JCS plans, which have been forwarded, are I believe more extensive than the type you and the President visualized as acceptable signals of U.S. intent to escalate military operations in Vietnam in the face of continued enemy intransigence in Paris. Furthermore, any such plans should be forwarded with the views of the Chairman and the Secretary included. If implemented, they would require some level of coordination at State. The draft plan, in fact, recommends (Page 6 of the draft JCS memorandum) that an appropriate interagency Task Force be formed to refine each of the scenarios which have been developed.

In brief, the plans provide:

1. Actual or feigned airborne/amphibious operations against several objectives in NVN (Appendix A).

2. An actual or feigned airborne/airmobile expedition in force against enemy LOCs in Laos and Cambodia (Appendix B).

3. Actual or feigned renewed and expanded air and naval operations against NVN (Appendix C).

TOP SECRET/SENSITIVE

## TOP SECRET/SENSITIVE -2-

DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>E. O. 12,958</u>

By/\_ CARA Date 7/19

194 - See 199.80

4. Actual or feigned subversion of the population and preparation for active resistance by the people against the Hanoi regime. (Appendix D).

5. A plan for actual or feigned technical escalation of war against North (nuclear) (Appendix E).

#### Recommend

You sign the memorandum at Tab B to Secretary Laird which:

1. Expresses your gratitude for the excellent draft plan.

2. Requests additional refinement to include lower level actions.

3. Requests resubmission in final form by March 15, 1969.

Attachments

TOP SECRET/SENSITIVE

DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>E. O. 12,958</u> By <u>(</u> ARA Date <u>7119/10</u>

THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

2 1 FEB 1969

12 - 14

26.

### MEMORANDUM FOR DR. KISSINGER

At the luncheon in my dining room on Monday, January 27, the President, General Wheeler, you, and I discussed the possibility of working out a program of potential military actions which might jar the North Vietnamese into being more forthcoming at the Paris talks. I was informed this morning that you would like to review the progress made in the staff work on such a program.

I am attaching the preliminary papers which have been prepared in the Joint Staff. I would like to emphasize that the papers have no status other than as working copy. While General Wheeler gave the papers to me, he has not had the opportunity -- nor have the other members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff -- to consider the proposals in any detail. Other members of my staff, with whom I shall want to consult before serious consideration is given to such dramatic steps, have not seen the papers at all.

While I wish to be responsive in providing material for some initial consideration, I wish to emphasize (a) the preliminary nature of this work; (b) the desirability of much more detailed discussions within the Department of Defense before any formal suggestions or recommendations can be made; and (c) the necessity, which I am sure you recognize, for coordination with the State Department and others before we proceed with any such steps as those outlined. I must confess to you being more impressed, at least initially, with the potential disadvantages of the proposals than with the possibility of achieving movement in Paris by such means.

Attachments

DECLASSIFIED

Authority E. D. 12 958 By/ CMARA Date 7/19/10

i

•	
1. To preclude prolonged stalling tactics by the	<u>1</u>
communists in Paris, a program of military, political,	2
and psychological activities can be employed by the	3
United States to create fear in the Hanoi leadership	4
that the United States is preparing to undertake new	5
highly damaging military actions against North Vietnamese	6
(NVN) territory, installations, and interests.	7
2. Although implementation of such a program is	8
technically feasible, there are also certain disadvantages	9
associated with it:	<u>10</u>
a. US actions may result in a serious misjudgment	<u>11</u>
by NVN or other Communist nations.	<u>12</u>
b. If NVN falls to react and the US then withdraws	<u>13</u>
without any change in the basic situation, it may	14
strengthen NVN intransigence.	<u>15</u>
c. If follow-on events make the US deception	<u>16</u>
apparent, subsequent indications of serious US intent	<u>17</u>
would lose their credibility.	<u>18</u>
d. Adverse criticism may force the US Government	<u>19</u>
to disavow the intent after initiating the deception.	<u>20</u>
The net result would be a strengthening of the NVN	<u>21</u>
position.	<u>2</u> 2
3. These disadvantages not withstanding, there may	23
be occasions when some type of deception effort would be	24
desirable. The following proposals, which could be	25
implemented singly or in varying combinations, offer a	26
broad spectrum of possible options:	27
· ·	

1

TOP SECRET - SENSITIVE SM-71-69



. ]

<ul> <li>several objectives in NVN. (See Appendix A)</li> <li>b. Punitive airborne/airmobile expeditions against enemy lines of communications (LOC) and base areas in Laos and Cambodia. (See Appendix B)</li> <li>c. Renewed and expanded air and naval operations against NVN to include closure of Halphong and the blockade of NVN. (See Appendix C)</li> <li>d. Subversion of the population and preparation for active resistance by the people against the Hanoi regime. (See Appendix D)</li> <li>e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVH, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level.</li> <li>Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be coordinated with the picture being painted through</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	a. A combined airborne/amphibious operation against
<ul> <li>enemy lines of communications (LOC) and base areas in Laos and Cambodia. (See Appendix B)</li> <li>c. Renewed and expanded air and naval operations against NVN to include closure of Haiphong and the blockade of NVN. (See Appendix C)</li> <li>d. Subversion of the population and preparation for active resistance by the people against the Hanol regime. (See Appendix D)</li> <li>e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVH, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	several objectives in NVN. (See Appendix A)
<ul> <li>in Laos and Cambodia. (See Appendix E)</li> <li>c. Renewed and expanded air and naval operations against NVN to include closure of Haiphong and the blockade of NVN. (See Appendix C)</li> <li>d. Subversion of the population and preparation for active resistance by the people against the Hanol regime. (See Appendix D)</li> <li>e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> <li>4. Due to the lack of wideapread disaffection within the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, en integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	b. Punitive airborne/airmobile expeditions against
<ul> <li>e. Renewed and expanded air and naval operations against NVN to include closure of Haiphong and the blockade of NVN. (See Appendix C)</li> <li>d. Subversion of the population and preparation for active resistance by the people against the Hanol regime. (See Appendix D)</li> <li>e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	enemy lines of communications (IOC) and base areas
<ul> <li>against NVN to include closure of Haiphong and the blockade of NVN. (See Appendix C)</li> <li>d. Subversion of the population and preparation for active resistance by the people against the Hanol regime. (See Appendix D)</li> <li>e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level.</li> <li>Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	in Laos and Cambodia. (See Appendix B)
<ul> <li>blockade of NVN. (See Appendix C)</li> <li>d. Subversion of the population and preparation for active resistance by the people against the Hanol regime. (See Appendix D) <ul> <li>e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	c. Renewed and expanded air and naval operations
<ul> <li>d. Subversion of the population and preparation for active resistance by the people against the Hanol regime. (See Appendix D) <ul> <li>e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	against NVN to include closure of Haiphong and the
<ul> <li>for active resistance by the people against the Hanol regime. (See Appendix D) <ul> <li>e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	blockade of NVN. (See Appendix C)
<ul> <li>regime. (See Appendix D)</li> <li>e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVM, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	d. Subversion of the population and preparation
<ul> <li>c. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)</li> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	for active resistance by the people against the Hanoi
<ul> <li>4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level.</li> <li>Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	regime. (See Appendix D)
<pre>the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible. 5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each. 6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanci, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</pre>	e. A technical escalation. (See Appendix E)
of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass uprisings is not presently considered feasible. 5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each. 6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be	4. Due to the lack of widespread disaffection within
<ul> <li>uprisings is not presently considered feasible.</li> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanci, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level.</li> <li>Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	the population of NVN, convincing the Democratic Republic
<ul> <li>5. The appendices set forth scenarlos for the proposed operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to</li> <li>Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level.</li> <li>Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	of Vietnam (DRV) leaders that they are faced with mass
<ul> <li>operations, to include the basic concept and general activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to</li> <li>Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level.</li> <li>Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	uprisings is not presently considered feasible.
<ul> <li>activities involved in each.</li> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios: <ul> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to</li> <li>Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military</li> <li>effort must be controlled at the national level.</li> <li>Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public</li> <li>statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	5. The appendices set forth scenarios for the proposed
<ul> <li>6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios:</li> <li>a. To present a realistic and credible threat to</li> <li>Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military</li> <li>effort must be controlled at the national level.</li> <li>Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public</li> <li>statements by high US government officials must be</li> </ul>	operations, to include the basic concept and general
a. To present a realistic and credible threat to Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be	activities involved in each.
Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be	6. Guidelines for the execution of scenarios:
effort must be controlled at the national level. Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be	a. To present a realistic and credible threat to
Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public statements by high US government officials must be	Hanoi, an integrated political, diplomatic, and military
statements by high US government officials must be	effort must be controlled at the national level.
	Actions by the US negotiating team in Paris and public
coordinated with the picture being painted through	statements by high US government officials must be
	coordinated with the picture being painted through
the manipulation of military resources.	the manipulation of military resources.

TOP SECRET - SENSITIVE

5



10

# TOP SECRET - SENSITIVE

	b. The threat which is presented to Hanoi in each	<u>1</u>
	scenario must be on a significant scale if it is to achieve	2
	the desired psychological impact.	3
	c. Each scenario must develop over an adequate period	4
	of time to permit the indicators to be picked up by the	<u>5</u>
	communists, transmitted through their intelligence network,	<u>6</u>
	evaluated, and considered by the top level leadership in	7
	Hanoi.	<u>8</u>
	d. The message being transmitted by each scenario must	<u>9</u>
	be clear and credible to Hanoi. To the extent that the	<u>10</u>
	planned operation will thereby receive domestic or foreign	<u>11</u>
	news media recognition, the effect on the American public	<u>12</u>
	must be considered.	<u>13</u>
	e. Provision must be made to permit arresting the	<u>14</u>
	development of each scenario at any desired stage without	<u>15</u>
•	jeopardizing US interests.	16
	f. Techniques for executing scenarios.	<u>17</u>
	(1) There are two ways by which the military phases	<u>18</u>
	of each scenario can be executed. CINCPAC can be directed	<u>19</u>
	to prepare to execute an actual operation at a given future	<u>20</u>
	date employing certain designated resources, while the Joint	<u>21</u>
	Chiefs of Staff maintain control of preparation and phased	<u>22</u>
	execution of the operation. Alternatively a complete	<u>23</u>
	deception operation can be conducted under the Joint Chiefs	<u>24</u>
	of Staff control. The greatest realism can be obtained	<u>25</u>
	by the first alternative. The number of persons who are	<u>26</u>
	aware of the underlying psychological objective of the	<u>27</u>
	preparations can be held extremely small, lessening the	<u>28</u>
	chance of its leakage.	<u>29</u>
	(2) Deception operations are considerably less expen-	<u>30</u>
	sive and easier to control but are much more difficult	31

TOP SECRET - SENSITIVE

3

to present in a credible fashion. Planning must be

<u>32</u>



extremely detailed; and, to insure that execution is	1
fully coordinated, a relatively large number of people	2
must inevitably become aware that a deception is being	3
presented.	4
(3) In the scenarios presented in the appendices, CINCPAC	5
is directed to prepare for actual: (a) airborne/	<u>6</u>
amphibious invasion of NVN, (b) punitive expedition	<u>7</u>
into Laos and Cambodia, (c) resumption of bombing and	8
institution of a naval blockade, and (d) resumption	9
and expansion of subversion operations. However, each	<u>10</u>
of those scenarios can be converted to a deception	<u>11</u>
operation if desired. In the remaining scenario,	<u>12</u>
technical escalation, a deception operation appears "	13
more feasible.	<u>14</u>
7. Evaluation of Scenarios.	<u>15</u>
a. Airborne/amphibious operation against NVN.	<u>16</u>
(1) This approach would be the most expensive to	<u>17</u>
execute and presupposes a situation wherein sufficient	18
forces to establish a credible threat are deployed from	<u>19</u>
CONUS or withdrawn from combat during the embarkation	20
and rehearsal phases. It would be the most likely to be	<b>`</b> 21
observed and reported by the press.	<u>22</u>
(2) It would require extensive time to prepare, thus	<u>23</u>
presenting an excellent picture to the enemy of a	<u>24</u>
developing US effort. This would provide considerable	<u>25</u>
opportunity for the enemy to evaluate, debate, and decide	<u>26</u>
whether to change negotiating tactics.	27
(3) A landing targeted at Vinh would be more likely to	<u>28</u>
cause the DRV to reconsider its negotiating tactics than	<u>29</u>
either of the other two lodgements farther south.	<u>30</u>
(4) For credibility, this scenario must include actions	<u>31</u>
pointing toward the resumption of bombing.	<u>32</u>

TOP SECRET - SENSITIVE



and the second state of the second second

b. Punitive expedition in Laos and Cambodia.

(1) Punitive airborne/airmobile expeditions against enemy LOCs and base areas in Laos and Cambodia would be unlikely to obtain the desired reaction from Hanoi because of their limited scope and effect, NVN territory is not threatened, and feasible alternatives are available to the enemy.

with Second in Second Strate Berlin Press

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

<u>15</u>

16

<u>17</u>

18

19

20

<u>21</u>

22

23

24

<u>25</u>

26

27

28

<u>29</u>

30

<u>31</u>

<u>32</u>

(2) The threat of an expedition targeted on Tchepone,being closest to NVN, would be more likely to get thedesired reaction than alternatives targeted farther south.c. Resumption of bombing and/or naval blockade.

(1) The resumption of bombing would be the most credible of all the scenarios, since bombing was <sup>(3)</sup> employed extensively by the United States in the past and the assets for resumption are present in the theater.
(2) With the assets already present, it would be difficult to present a picture of military preparations extending over sufficient time to affect a negotiating posture. This course would also imply the application of emphasis on public statements and other psychological activities to develop the picture of a hardening United States Government resolve.

(3) The naval buildup for a blockade will provide the opportunity to extend military preparations over a substantial period of time, thus providing more flexibility to this approach.

(4) This scenario would be the easiest to control and less expensive than that for an amphibious/airborne attack on NVN.
d. Subversion of the NVN population.

(1) Since it is presently considered infeasible to convince the DRV leadership that it is faced with a

TOP SECRET - SENSITIVE



THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF

mass uprising, this scenario by itself would not be likely to produce the desired result of persuading Hanoi to change its negotiating tactics. For greatest effect, it should be coupled with other scenarios.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

<u>11</u>

<u>12</u>

13

14-

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

<u>21</u>

22

23

24

25

(2) The development of the actions proposed in this scenario would require considerable time.

e. Technical escalation.

(1) It is difficult to evaluate the degree of credibility which the DRV leadership will attach to this scenario. It would depend in great part on their assessment of the resoluteness of the United States leadership, considering the then existent attitude of the US population toward the entire SEA effort, as well as in their confidence in continued USSR support.

(2) Use of atomic, biological or lethal chemical \* weapons in Vietnam would excite very strong public and congressional reaction.

(3) The predictable reaction worldwide, particularly in Japan and Okinawa, upon the surfacing of this scenario, militate against its employment.

8. If the concept of applying psychological pressure to change DRV negotiating tactics is approved, it is recommended that an appropriate interagency task force be formed to refine each of the attached scenarios and to prepare detailed plans for their implementation.

DE SECRET - SENSITIVE

### APPENDIX C

SCENARIO: Renewed and expanded air and naval operations against NVN .

TOP SECR

れ、こと、良、 きご 調整者がわけ

÷.,

ALL STREET STREET

and the second

Authorit

By

CONCEPT	•	IMPLE	MENTING ACTI	ONS			COMMEN	TS	
US officials indic	ate increased impatience			ent official	in an exch	ange with	The tone	and timin	g of
NS	ransigence and hint that		-	hat a breakd		-	· .	ntsby US of i	-
님 더 스 the bombing might	be resumed. A high level	-		to a resumpt.	`	^	and nego	tiators wi	ll be
···•	e is followed by increased			expanded. H	1	_		actions a	
air and naval reco	nnaissance of NVN.		• •	with the slow				ts by the l	
	munitions are built				•••			delsewher	· · ·
	al units move into the	2. The U	S negotiato:	r in Paris in	ndicates to	the NVN	*		,
	ditional aircraft move			ience over co			•		•
	Thailand, Leaks hint		1	a media incre			5		
of a resumption of	air and naval operations			e DMZ, Laos a			•		•
-	nsive pyschological opera-			e resumed ove			See J	cs 2472/420	<b>,</b> :
	reased US determination	dcne.	•					•	;
and irritation, wi				rence is cond	ducted at C	INCPAC involv	ing		
•	-			NCPAC, CINCSA			1	6. S.	
۰ ک				OMUSMACTHAI.		•		••••	
				in issuing st	tatements d	esigned to		• *	
		• •		ican public f					1
رو		bombi		·		-			بو
			•	٦	-	· ·			
,	1	-			·	•		1	
,						•		:	
					•				
						4	at ha ha an		

Authorit B

	SECRET	CONCEPT	IMPLEMENTING ACTIONS	COMMENTS
Appropriate the second	- SENSITIVE		VC/NVA forces. 8. CINCPAC is directed by message to prepare to renew bombing of NVN under reduced restrictions, to close	•
vessels into the Gulf of Tonkin. d. Move or alert for movement additional Air Force Squadrons onto airfields in Thailand. 10. Air Force and Naval Squadrons in the US are alerted for possible movement to the Far East.	15		action to a. Increase air reconnaissance over NVN and hydrographic surveys of the coast of NVN. b. Build up stockpiles of air ordnance in SVN and Thailand.	
		•	vessels into the Gulf of Tonkin. d. Move or alert for movement additional Air Force Squadrons onto airfields in Thailand. 10. Air Force and Naval Squadrons in the US are alerted	

\* \*\*

2 C	ONCEPT			•			MPLEMENTING	ACTIONS				+	CC	MMENT	<u>s</u>
, <u> </u>					Ĩ1.		s in grey a		adio broad	lcasts					
-				İ		and in le	aflets drop	ped on NVN	are gradu	ally	• •		-		
ク ゴ マ			r.			changed t	o indicate a	an increas	ed lack of						
CJ Secrem - Senstrtve						patience	with Hanoi':	s actions.							
EVE			۴		12.		tified of a			of			1		
							nd blockade			,					1
					13.		a bombing :		•	ad	•			•	•
					• • •		men to loca						•		
16	r					-7 <b>-</b> 2 411				<b>-</b> -	a≊ •. -	· ·			47 y
							¢								
												~ ~	p.		
L		1	•							:	'.	-	:		
			·	:							•				
				· ·											
		•	÷							•				•	÷.•
•							<i>1</i> .,	-							
Append1x	r			7	-							I			
) ndi		•					•								
× 0													Ŧ		
									-						
												,			
				,					t					•	
										. 1			1		

6

1

1

ġ. 2 

By/ CMARA Date

### - APPENDIX'E

SCENARIO: Technical Escalation		
CONCEPT	IMPLEMENTING ACTIONS	COMMENTS
召 马 US government officials indicate	1. The US negotiator in Paris indicates to the	The tone and timing of
increased impatience with commu-	NVN and NLF negotiators US impatience over	statements of US offict
nist intransigence in Paris and	communist intransigence. This theme of	and negotiators will be
H hint at a possible technical	impatience is repeated in a press conference	keyed to the actions and
escalation of the war. A visit	by a high US official in Washington.	statements by the NVN 1
by technical experts to the Far	2. A high US military official in a public	Paris and elsewhere.
East is followed by a high level	statement comments that the Pentagon	
political/military conference.	periodically examines means by which new and	
US troops in Vietnam commence	more modern weapons could be used in Vietnam	
CBR training while an apparent	if necessary.	
build-up of highly classified	3. Allied propaganda media increase emphasis on	
munitions occurs. Radio broad-	VC/NVA violations of the DMZ, Laos and Cambodia.	
casts and leaflet themes indicate .	4. Leaflet drops are resumed over NVN, if not	See JCS 2472/420
increased US determination and	already being done.	
irritation with Hanoi's delays.	5. A team of Pentagon technical experts in atomic	
>	and chemical warfare makes an extended visit	
Apper :	of the Far East, stopping off at CINCPAC,	
ud1x	Okinawa, Saigon and Bangkok.	
	6 A summit conference is held in Honolulu	

6. A summit conference is held in Honolulu involving President Nixon, President Thieu and the heads of government of TCCIs.

1.1

,	TOP SECRET	SCENARIO: C <u>ONCEPT</u>	Technical	Escalation	: }		
	i-3 1					IMPLEMENTING ACTIONS	1
,					7		COMMENTS
•	SENSITIVE	ь	,	•	<b>2</b> 5 <b>*</b>	7. Subsequently, a military conference is held at CINCPAC involving SecDef, CJCS, CINCPAC, COMUSMACV and CINCSAC.	- ,
						8. High security storage areas are established at	
						sites in SVN and Thailand	•
· .	• <sup>1</sup> •	z			•	into SVN and stored at those sites	
	20					. 9. CBR training is conducted for all us the	ь. <b>*</b>
	7					this to include those in rear area toba	
	5					inspections are conducted of unit opp	۰.
			÷			VC/NVA forces.	
			<b>6</b> n			11. The themes of grey and black radio broadcasts	. · ·
					,	in realiets dropped on NVN and made	
	Appendix					changed to indicate an increased lack of patience with Hanoi's actions.	• •
	ndix E	1					
				,			a
3					-		and a second sec
• *					ļ		•
	,				,		

a said a said a state and a said a

•

•

.

s :-

C

**Mark** 

ù,

2

تشو م

By



MEMORANDUM FOR:

DECLASSIFIED Authority <u>F. O. 12958</u> By DNARA Date 7-11-02

> Honorable Melvin R. Laird Secretary of Defense

FROM:

Henry A. Rissinger (S)

SUBJECT:

Memorandum Enclosing Preliminary Draft of Potential Military Actions re Vietnam

I am very grateful for your memorandum of February 21, 1969 which forwarded the preliminary papers prepared by the Joint Staff on possible actual or feigned military actions which might be undertaken to signal U.S. intent to escalate operations in Vietnam.

The plans are well conceived and the risks involved in their implementation are precisely enumerated. I am somewhat concerned, however, that the "realities" of the current domestic and international environment do not lend themselves to an acceptance of these risks at this time. There may be, however, some less elaborate actions which could be initiated which although more subtle in nature, might be undertaken with reduced risks of news media recognition or domestic turbulänser. I have in mind such measures as the selective implementation of indicators which might signal force build-up, increased planning, etc.. as manifested by increased military communications, increased aerial reconnelssance, planning conferences or even the staging of amphibious shipping.

Perhaps it would be possible to flesh-out the plans with a spectrum of lower profiled actions of this type which could be implemented with less risk of international and domestic turbulence. I would be most grateful if you would have this excellent effort refined accordingly so that we could jointly discuss the resulting proposals with the President sometime after March 15, 1969. We

TOP SECRET/SENSITIVE

in the star the shirt of the



\*\*

should also be prepared to discuss with the President proposals for accomplishing required interdepartmental coordination while preserving essential maximum security.

TOP SECRET/SENSITIVE