## CONFIDENTIAL Department of State

USNATO Ø6548 Ø11218Z PAGE Ø1 ACTION EUR-12

OCT-01 IO-13 ISO-00 <u>DLOS-09</u> CIAE-00 PM-05 INR-10 L-03 ACDA-12 NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 SP-02 ICA-11 OCT-Ø1 IO-13 INFO TRSE-00 /094 W

----- 011227Z /12

P Ø11206Z JUL 78 FM USMISSION USNATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY, 2637 SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY INFO USMISSION GENEVA USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

## CONFIDENTIAL USNATO Ø6548

E. O. 11652: XGDS-1 TAGS: NATO, PARM SUBJECT: NATO EXPERT MEETINGS ON HUMANITARIAN INTER-NATIONAL LAW IN ARMED CONFLICT

REF: STATE 147389 DTG 092154Z JUN 78

1. NATO LEGAL/POLITICAL EXPERTS MEETING 28-29 JUNE CON-SIDERED NATIONAL POSITIONS ON ALLIED INTERPRETATIONS OF PROTOCOLS I AND II TO THE 1949 GENEVA CONVENTIONS. ALL PARTICIPANTS ENDORSED THE INTERPRETATIONS FOUND TO BE NECESSARY IN NATO MILITARY COMMITTEE STUDY, INCLUDING UNDERSTANDING THAT RULES OF THE PROTOCOL DO NOT AFFECT OR REGULATE OR PROHIBIT THE USE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS. HOWEVER, NO UNIFORMITY AS TO THE FORM FOR THERE WAS, MAKING THESE INTERPRETATIONS. WHILE UK IS CONSIDERING EXPRESSING MANY AS DECLARATIONS IN ITS INSTRUMENT OF RATIFICATION, US REITERATED POSITIONS EXPRESSED IN REFTEL THAT IT INTENDS TO MAKE RELATIVELY FEW FORMAL DECLARATIONS BUT INCLUDE OTHERS IN THE ANALYSIS THAT WILL ACCOMPANY THE PROTOCOLS TO THE SENATE. NORWAY EXPECTS TO MAKE NO FORMAL DECLARATIONS AT RATIFICATION BUT WILL MAKE FULL EXPLANATORY STATEMENT TO ITS PARLIAMENT. FRG FOREIGN AND DEFENSE MINISTRIES HAVE NOT YET RECONCILED DIFFERENCES AND THUS NO OFFICIAL FRG POSITION WAS OFFERED.

2. ONLY COUNTRY THAT INDICATED IT PROBABLY WOULD NOT MAKE NUCLEAR UNDERSTANDING AS A FORMAL DECLARATION ACCOMPANYING INSTRUMENT OF RATIFICATION WAS NORWAY CANADA INDICATED CONTINUING CONCERN WITH TEXTS USED BY US AND UK. FRANCE REITERATED THAT IT WOULD NOT BECOME A PARTY TO THE PROTOCOLS. US, UK, AND FRG REITERATED IMPORTANCE THEY ATTACH TO HAVING ALL NATO ALLIES WITH NUCLEAR DELIVERY SYSTEMS ON THEIR TERRITORIES MAKE SUCH A DECLARATION.

3. IT WAS TENTATIVELY AGREED TO HOLD FOLLOW-UP CONSULTA-TIVE MEETING IN MID TO LATE OCTOBER, THE PRECISE DATES TO BE FIXED BY THE POLITICAL COMMITTEE. THIS TIMING SEEMS NECESSARY, AS NORWAY INDICATED THAT IT PLANS TO MOVE NECESSARY, AS NORWAY INDICATED THAT IT PLANS TO MOVE PROTOCOLS FORWARD FOR RATIFICATION BY THE END OF THE YEAR, AND US SAID IT HOPES TO HAVE THEM READY TO SUBMIT TO THE SENATE BY EARLY 1979. OCTOBER MEETING WILL HAVE TO CONSIDER, INTER ALIA, COORDINATED ALLIANCE POSITION ON RESERVATION OF RIGHTS OF REPRISAL IN THE EVENT OF MASSIVE AND CONTINUING ATTACKS ON THE CIVILIAN POPULATION. ONLY NORWAY HAS MADE A FINAL DECISION (NEGATIVE) ON THIS QUESTION. GLITMAN

CONFIDENTIAL

DECLASSIFIED Authority NND 66774

File-Herman Rights in Armed Comphilts

INCOMING

TELEGRAM G